

LOCALIZATION WITH RESPECT TO A CLASS OF MAPS I — EQUIVARIANT LOCALIZATION OF DIAGRAMS OF SPACES

BY

BORIS CHORNY*

*Einstein Institute of Mathematics, Edmond Safra Campus
Givat Ram, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem 91904, Israel
e-mail: bchorny2@uwo.ca*

ABSTRACT

Homotopical localizations with respect to a set of maps are known to exist in cofibrantly generated model categories (satisfying additional assumptions) [4, 13, 24, 35]. In this paper we expand the existing framework, so that it will apply to not necessarily cofibrantly generated model categories and, more important, will allow for a localization with respect to a class of maps (satisfying some restrictive conditions).

We illustrate our technique by applying it to the equivariant model category of diagrams of spaces [12]. This model category is not cofibrantly generated [8]. We give conditions on a class of maps which ensure the existence of the localization functor; these conditions are satisfied by any set of maps and by the classes of maps which induce ordinary localizations on the generalized fixed-points sets.

Introduction

Homotopy idempotent constructions, or homotopical localizations, play an important role in algebraic topology and algebraic geometry. Homotopical localization is a functor L in a model category that carries weak equivalences into

* During the preparation of this paper the author was a fellow of Marie Curie Training Site hosted by Centre de Recerca Matemàtica (Barcelona), grant no. HPMT-CT-2000-00075 of the European Commission.

Current address: Department of Mathematics, Middlesex College, The University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario N6A 5B7, Canada.

Received June 10, 2004 and in revised form November 4, 2004

weak equivalences and is equipped with the natural transformation $Id \rightarrow L$, that induces weak equivalences $LX \simeq LLX$ for all X .

The idea behind such construction is to “forget information” in a consistent, functorial way. The amount of the information “forgotten” by L is measured precisely by the class of maps S_L which are turned into weak equivalences by L .

In practice, we usually know what kind of information we would like to discard, i.e. S_L , and we are looking for the functor L . E.g., $S_L = \{\text{homological equivalences of spaces}\}$; and L is Bousfield’s localization functor [3].

If we are able to encode the “informative content” of S_L by just a set of maps $S \subset S_L$, and if the underlying model category is cofibrantly generated (plus some other conditions), then the construction of the localization functor L is given by the classical framework established by A. K. Bousfield, E. Dror Farjoun, P. S. Hirschhorn, J. Smith [4, 13, 24, 35].

But if we need to invert a proper class of maps or if we happen to work in a non-cofibrantly generated model category, then the standard framework does not guarantee the existence of the localization functor. Such situations are not rare. Recently, several important model categories were shown to be non-cofibrantly generated [1, 8, 10, 27]. Also, the existence of the localization functors with respect to the class of cohomological equivalences of spaces is a long-standing open problem.

In this work we develop a new approach that allows for construction of homotopical localizations with respect to a class of maps (satisfying some conditions) in a not necessarily cofibrantly generated model category. As an application, we give an example of a non-cofibrantly generated model category (taken from [8]) and a class of maps which fits into our framework but is not covered by the classical localization frameworks. In our example the model structure is generated by classes of cofibrations and trivial cofibrations which carry an additional structure sufficient to guarantee the applicability of a version of Quillen’s small object argument. The set of conditions on a class of maps (in an abstract category) that allows one to apply the generalized version of the argument is given the name **instrumentation**.

The main example of this paper involves homotopical localizations in the equivariant homotopy theory. Classical Bredon’s homotopy theory of spaces with a group action was generalized to the equivariant homotopy theory over an arbitrary small category D by E. Dror Farjoun and A. Zabrodsky in the mid-80’s [14, 11, 12]. As a first application of the technique of instrumentation

we construct functorial factorizations in the category of diagrams of spaces with the equivariant model structure.

The question of the existence of equivariant localization functors was considered previously by V. Halperin [23]. Only *strong* equivariant localizations were constructed in that work, which are not localizations in any familiar model category on diagrams of spaces.

ORGANIZATION OF THE PAPER. In the preliminary section we recall basic definitions and results about the homotopy theory of diagrams of spaces. We also make explicit the connection between two notions of orbits: Dwyer–Kan [19] and Dror Farjoun–Zabrodsky [13, 12]. Namely the orbits in the later works are also orbits from the first work.

In Section 2 we develop a formalism necessary to describe the additional structure carried by a class of maps in order to satisfy the conditions of Quillen’s small object argument. We introduce here the central notion of *instrumentation* of a class of maps.

In Section 3 we illustrate this notion by constructing instrumentations on the classes of generating cofibrations and trivial cofibrations in the category of diagrams of spaces equipped with the equivariant model structure of [12]. As an immediate consequence we obtain functorial factorizations in the non-cofibrantly generated model category of diagrams of spaces.

In Section 4 we consider the category of pro-spaces as another example of a model category which is neither cofibrantly nor fibrantly generated, but has the classes of generating fibrations and generating trivial fibrations which may be equipped with a structure dual to the instrumentation (except of the functoriality features of the structure).

Section 5 is devoted to the proof of the generalized Quillen small object argument, which also finishes the proof that the factorizations in the model category of [12] are functorial.

Before turning to the construction of localizations in the equivariant model category of D -shaped diagrams of spaces, we prove in Section 6 that this model category is proper. We also answer affirmatively the question posted by E. Dror Farjoun in [12, 2.3] and show that in the category of diagrams of simplicial sets every object is cofibrant.

In Section 7 we list the properties required from a class of maps in the equivariant category of diagrams in order to ensure the existence of the localization functor with respect to that class of maps. Afterwards we construct these localization functors, discuss some elementary examples and prove their

basic properties.

Finally, in Section 8 we apply the technique of Section 7 in order to construct the fixed-pointwise localization functor with respect to a map of spaces (for the case of G -spaces, where G is a compact Lie group, such localizations were constructed by J. P. May, J. McClure and G. Triantafillou [32] with respect to the ordinary non-equivariant homology theory).

In Appendix A we discuss the notion of contractible objects in a general model category. Their properties were useful in the proof of the properties of localization functors in Section 7.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: I would like to thank Emmanuel Dror Farjoun for his support and many helpful ideas. I am grateful to Carles Casacuberta who has patiently read and helped me to improve the early version of this paper.

This paper was significantly influenced by the fundamental treatise of P. S. Hirschhorn [24]. I am obliged to the author who made available through the internet the early versions of his manuscript.

1. Preliminaries on the diagrams of spaces

In this section we review the equivariant homotopy theory of diagrams of spaces and establish basic notation. The only novelty introduced here is that an orbit diagram in the sense of Dror Farjoun–Zabrodsky [11, 12, 14] is also an orbit in the sense of Dwyer–Kan [19]. The topological (versus simplicial) version of the main proposition appeared previously in [6].

In this paper the **category of spaces** \mathcal{S} is the category of simplicial sets or compactly generated topological spaces with the standard model structure. Most of the results are true in the category of pointed spaces. If D is a small category enriched over \mathcal{S} , then the **category of (D -shaped) diagrams of spaces** \mathcal{S}^D is the category of continuous functors from D to \mathcal{S} with natural transformations as morphisms. \mathcal{S}^D is a simplicial category and we denote by $\mathrm{hom}(\cdot, \cdot)$ the simplicial function complex; $\mathrm{hom}_D(\cdot, \cdot)$ will denote the set of morphisms in \mathcal{S}^D . The two functors are related as follows:

$$\mathrm{hom}(\underline{X}, \underline{Y})_n = \mathrm{hom}_D(\underline{X} \otimes \Delta^n, \underline{Y}).$$

There are several well-known model structures on the category of diagrams of spaces. One of the most widely used is the Bousfield–Kan model category, in which weak equivalences and fibrations are objectwise and cofibrations are obtained by the left lifting property with respect to trivial fibrations. Another

example (not used in this paper) is A. Heller's model category, in which weak equivalences and cofibrations are objectwise and fibrations are obtained by the right lifting property with respect to trivial cofibrations. These model categories are cofibrantly generated. In this article we work mostly with the equivariant model structure on the category of diagrams of spaces constructed by E. Dror Farjoun [12] and described in Definition 1.4.

Recall from [19] that a set $\{O_e\}_{e \in E}$ of objects of a category \mathcal{M} , enriched over simplicial sets, is said to be a set of orbits for \mathcal{M} if the following axioms hold:

Q0: \mathcal{M} is closed under arbitrary direct limits.

Q1: For every $e \in E$, the functor $\text{hom}(O_e, \cdot): \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \text{Sets}^{\Delta^{\text{op}}}$ commutes, up to homotopy, with the pushouts of the following form:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} O_{e'} \otimes K & \longrightarrow & X_a \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ O_{e'} \otimes L & \longrightarrow & X_{a+1} \end{array}$$

where $K \hookrightarrow L$ is an inclusion of finite simplicial sets.

Q2: For every $e \in E$, the functor $\text{hom}(O_e, \cdot): \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \text{Sets}^{\Delta^{\text{op}}}$ commutes, up to homotopy, with transfinite compositions of maps $X_a \hookrightarrow X_{a+1}$ as in Q1.

Q3: There is a limit ordinal κ such that, for every $e \in E$, the functor $\text{hom}(O_e, \cdot)$ strictly commutes with κ -transfinite compositions of maps $X_a \hookrightarrow X_{a+1}$ as in Q1.

According to [11, 12, 14], a D -diagram \underline{T} is an **orbit** if $\text{colim}_D \underline{T} = *$; \mathcal{O}_D denotes the full subcategory of orbits. Often \mathcal{O}_D is a large subcategory of \mathcal{S}^D . The objective of this section is to show that the orbits in this sense satisfy the axioms Q0–Q3. Nevertheless, the collection of orbits is not a set of orbits for \mathcal{S}^D , since it may be a proper class rather than a set. Any subset of $\text{obj}(\mathcal{O}_D)$ is a set of orbits for \mathcal{S}^D and defines a model category structure; see [19].

Axiom Q0 is obvious in the category of diagrams of spaces. Axiom Q2 was verified in [12]. Axioms Q1 and Q3 were left to the reader in [12]. We decided to include them into current exposition. Axiom Q3 will be proved in Proposition 3.1 below. The following lemmas lead to the proof of Axiom Q1 in Proposition 1.3.

LEMMA 1.1: *Let \mathcal{M} be a simplicial model category, and let $f: A \rightarrow X$ be a morphism of \mathcal{M} . Define the mapping cylinder of f to be the pushout $\text{MC}(f) = A \otimes \Delta^1 \amalg_A X$. Then the inclusion $g: X \rightarrow \text{MC}(f)$ is a simplicial homotopy equivalence.*

Proof: Note that there is no assumption of (co)fibrancy on the involved objects of \mathcal{M} .

The simplicial homotopy inverse $h: MC(f) \rightarrow X$ of g is constructed as a universal map in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{f} & X \\
 A \otimes (\Delta^0 \rightarrow \Delta^1) \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\
 A \otimes \Delta^1 & \xrightarrow{F} & MC(f) \\
 & \searrow A \otimes (\Delta^1 \rightarrow \Delta^0) & \downarrow h \\
 & & A \xrightarrow{f} X.
 \end{array}
 \quad \begin{array}{l}
 \nearrow \text{id}_X \\
 \nearrow
 \end{array}$$

Since $hg = \text{id}_X$, we only need to show that gh is simplicially homotopic to $\text{id}_{MC(f)}$. Since the functor $(\cdot \otimes \Delta^1)$ is a left adjoint, it preserves pushouts. The required simplicial homotopy is the universal map in the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 A \otimes \Delta^1 & \xrightarrow{f \otimes \Delta^1} & X \otimes \Delta^1 & \xrightarrow{X \otimes (\Delta^1 \rightarrow \Delta^0)} & X \\
 A \otimes \Delta^1 \otimes (\Delta^0 \rightarrow \Delta^1) \downarrow & & \downarrow g \otimes \Delta^1 & & \downarrow g \\
 (A \otimes \Delta^1) \otimes \Delta^1 & \xrightarrow{F \otimes \Delta^1} & MC(f) \otimes \Delta^1 & \xrightarrow{H} & MC(f) \\
 & \searrow (A \otimes (\Delta^1 \rightarrow \Delta^0)) \otimes \Delta^1 & \downarrow f & & \\
 & & A \otimes \Delta^1 & \xrightarrow{f} & MC(f).
 \end{array}
 \quad \blacksquare$$

The next lemma may be viewed as a special case of Q1. By cofibrations of diagrams ' \hookrightarrow ' we temporarily mean the transfinite compositions of maps $X_a \hookrightarrow X_{a+1}$ as in Q1. Later on we shall close this class under retracts.

LEMMA 1.2: *Given a pushout of diagrams of simplicial sets*

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{\quad} & C \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 B & \xrightarrow{\quad} & D,
 \end{array}$$

for any orbit \underline{T} the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{hom}(\underline{T}, \underline{A}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{hom}(\underline{T}, \underline{C}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{hom}(\underline{T}, \underline{B}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{hom}(\underline{T}, \underline{D}), \end{array}$$

is a pushout in the category of simplicial sets.

Proof: The restrictions imposed on the commutative square (1) and [12, Lemma 2.1] imply that the following commutative squares are pullbacks:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{A}^{\mathcal{C}} \longrightarrow \underline{D} & \underline{B}^{\mathcal{C}} \longrightarrow \underline{D} & \underline{C}^{\mathcal{C}} \longrightarrow \underline{D} \\ \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{colim}_D \underline{A} \longrightarrow \mathrm{colim}_D \underline{D} & \mathrm{colim}_D \underline{B} \longrightarrow \mathrm{colim}_D \underline{D} & \mathrm{colim}_D \underline{C} \longrightarrow \mathrm{colim}_D \underline{D}. \end{array}$$

Moreover, since colimit over D commutes with pushouts, the following square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{colim}_D \underline{A} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{colim}_D \underline{B} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{colim}_D \underline{C} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{colim}_D \underline{D} \end{array}$$

is a pushout in the category of simplicial sets, but can be thought of as a pushout of constant D -shaped diagrams of simplicial sets.

In other words, the commutative square (1) is obtained from the commutative square of the colimits above as a base-change along the map $\underline{D} \rightarrow \mathrm{colim}_D \underline{D}$. And the same will be true if we will apply the functor $\mathrm{hom}(\underline{T}, \cdot)$, since this functor preserves pullbacks and its value on constant diagrams is the entry of the diagram.

One can give an elementary direct proof of this lemma, but there is a topos theory result [30, IV.7.2], which finishes the proof immediately. It claims that the base-change functor between slice topoi is a left adjoint, i.e., it preserves pushouts. We apply it to the slice topoi $\mathcal{S} \downarrow \mathrm{colim}_D \underline{D}$ and $\mathcal{S} \downarrow \mathrm{hom}(\underline{T}, \underline{D})$ (recall that $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}ets^{\Delta^{op}}$ is a topos). The conclusion is that the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{hom}(\underline{T}, \underline{A}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{hom}(\underline{T}, \underline{C}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{hom}(\underline{T}, \underline{B}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{hom}(\underline{T}, \underline{D}), \end{array}$$

is a pushout in the category of simplicial sets. \blacksquare

PROPOSITION 1.3: *If \underline{T} is an orbit, $K \hookrightarrow L$ is an inclusion of (finite) simplicial sets and the square*

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \underline{T} \otimes K & \xrightarrow{f} & \underline{X}_a \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \underline{T} \otimes L & \longrightarrow & \underline{X}_{a+1} \end{array}$$

is a pushout diagram in S^D , then for any other orbit \underline{T}' the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{hom}(\underline{T}', \underline{T} \otimes K) & \longrightarrow & \text{hom}(\underline{T}', \underline{X}_a) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{hom}(\underline{T}', \underline{T} \otimes L) & \longrightarrow & \text{hom}(\underline{T}', \underline{X}_{a+1}) \end{array}$$

is a pushout diagram, up to homotopy, in the category of simplicial sets, i.e., the natural map $\text{hom}(\underline{T}', \underline{X}_a) \amalg_{\text{hom}(\underline{T}', \underline{T} \otimes K)} \text{hom}(\underline{T}', \underline{T} \otimes L) \rightarrow \text{hom}(\underline{T}', \underline{X}_{a+1})$ is a weak equivalence of simplicial sets.

Proof: The argument for $S = \mathcal{T}\text{op}$ differs from the case $S = \text{Sets}^{\Delta^{\text{op}}}$. We will treat first the case of the simplicial sets.

The plan for the proof in the case of the diagrams of simplicial sets is the following: substitute the entries of (2) up to a weak equivalence, so that it will be possible to apply Lemma 1.2.

We start with forming the mapping cylinder of the attaching map $\text{MC}(f)$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{T} \otimes K & \xrightarrow{f} & \underline{X}_a \\ \downarrow \scriptstyle T \otimes K \otimes (\Delta^0 \xrightarrow{i_0} \Delta^1) & & \downarrow \scriptstyle g \\ \underline{T} \otimes K \otimes \Delta^1 & \xrightarrow{F} & \text{MC}(f), \end{array}$$

and then take the pushout $\underline{X}'_{a+1} = \underline{X}_{a+1} \amalg_{\underline{X}_a} \text{MC}(f)$. We obtain the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \underline{T} \otimes K & \xrightarrow{f} & \underline{X}_a & \xrightarrow{g} & \text{MC}(f) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \underline{T} \otimes L & \longrightarrow & \underline{X}_{a+1} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \underline{X}'_{a+1}. \end{array}$$

The right pushout square is of the form (1), hence Lemma 1.2 ensures that for any orbit \underline{T}' the following commutative square is a pushout of simplicial sets:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{hom}(\underline{T}', \underline{X}_a) & \xrightarrow{g_*} & \mathrm{hom}(\underline{T}', \mathrm{MC}(f)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{hom}(\underline{T}', \underline{X}_{a+1}) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathrm{hom}(\underline{T}', \underline{X}'_{a+1}). \end{array}$$

Note that the functor $\mathrm{hom}(\underline{T}', \cdot)$ preserves injections of diagrams, hence all the arrows in the commutative square above are cofibrations of simplicial sets. In Lemma 1.1 we proved that the map g is a simplicial homotopy equivalence, hence [24, 9.6.8] implies that g_* is a simplicial homotopy equivalence of simplicial sets and, in particular, g_* is a weak equivalence. (Formally, we need a structure of simplicial model category to exist \mathcal{S}^D for that conclusion, but any of the standard levelwise model structures will suffice for our purpose.) The map $\mathrm{hom}(\underline{T}', \underline{X}_a) \rightarrow \mathrm{hom}(\underline{T}', \underline{X}'_a)$ is also a weak equivalence by the left properness of simplicial sets.

Therefore it will suffice to prove that $\mathrm{hom}(\underline{T}', \cdot)$ commutes, up to homotopy with the pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{T} \otimes K & \xrightarrow{fg} & \mathrm{MC}(f) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \underline{T} \otimes L & \longrightarrow & \underline{X}'_{a+1}. \end{array}$$

This pushout is again of the form (2) but not of the form (1). But we can bring it to the form (1) by replacing the map fg with a simplicially homotopic map $h = F \circ (\underline{T} \otimes L \otimes (\Delta^0 \xrightarrow{i} \Delta^1))$: $\underline{T} \otimes L \rightarrow \mathrm{MC}(f)$ and taking the pushout $\underline{X}''_{a+1} = \underline{T} \otimes L \times_{\underline{T} \otimes K} \mathrm{MC}(f)$. The pushout square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{T} \otimes K & \xrightarrow{h} & \mathrm{MC}(f) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \underline{T} \otimes L & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \underline{X}''_{a+1} \end{array}$$

is finally of the form (1) and we can apply Lemma 1.2. Note that the map h is a cofibration, since it is a composition of two cofibrations $\underline{T} \otimes K \hookrightarrow \underline{T} \otimes K \amalg \underline{X}_a \hookrightarrow$

$MC(f)$, where the second map is a cofibration since it appears in the pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{T} \otimes K \otimes \partial \Delta^1 & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{\underline{T} \otimes K} \amalg f} & \underline{T} \otimes K \amalg \underline{X}_a \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \underline{T} \otimes K \otimes \Delta^1 & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & MC(f). \end{array}$$

It remains to show that $\text{hom}(\underline{T}', \underline{X}'_{a+1}) \sim \text{hom}(\underline{T}', \underline{X}''_{a+1})$ for any orbit \underline{T}' . We will prove it by constructing a simplicial homotopy equivalence between \underline{X}'_{a+1} and \underline{X}''_{a+1} . But there is no direct map which establishes this weak equivalence (there is a map $\underline{X}''_{a+1} \rightarrow \underline{X}'_{a+1}$ which sends $MC(f)$ to \underline{X}_a but in general it need not have a simplicial homotopy inverse). In order to construct the intermediate stage for the simplicial equivalence, consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \underline{T} \otimes L & \xleftarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & \underline{T} \otimes K & \xrightarrow{gf} & MC(f) \\ \downarrow \scriptstyle \underline{T} \otimes L \otimes i_0 \quad j_1 & & \downarrow \scriptstyle \underline{T} \otimes K \otimes i_0 \quad j_2 & & \parallel \\ \underline{T} \otimes L \otimes \Delta^1 & \xleftarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & \underline{T} \otimes K \otimes \Delta^1 & \xrightarrow{F} & MC(f) \\ \uparrow \scriptstyle \underline{T} \otimes L \otimes i_1 & & \uparrow \scriptstyle \underline{T} \otimes K \otimes i_1 & & \parallel \\ \underline{T} \otimes L & \xleftarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & \underline{T} \otimes K & \xrightarrow{h} & MC(f), \end{array}$$

where $i_0, i_1: \Delta^0 \rightarrow \Delta^1$ are the ends of Δ^1 . The pushout of the upper row is \underline{X}'_{a+1} , the pushout of the bottom row is \underline{X}''_{a+1} . Let us denote by \underline{X}'''_{a+1} the pushout of the middle row and show that $\underline{X}'''_{a+1} \stackrel{s}{\sim} \underline{X}'_{a+1}$ and $\underline{X}'''_{a+1} \stackrel{s}{\sim} \underline{X}''_{a+1}$. We will prove only the first equivalence; the second proof is similar.

By [24, 9.5.18, 9.5.19] the vertical map in the above diagram is simplicial homotopy equivalences. Take their simplicial homotopy inverses $j_1 = \underline{T} \otimes L \otimes p$ and $j_2 = \underline{T} \otimes K \otimes p$, where $p: \Delta^1 \rightarrow \Delta^0$. Then $j_1 \circ (\underline{T} \otimes L \otimes i_0) = \text{id}_{\underline{T} \otimes L}$ and $j_2 \circ (\underline{T} \otimes K \otimes i_0) = \text{id}_{\underline{T} \otimes K}$. Composed in the inverse order these maps are identities only up to a simplicial homotopy. Let us fix these homotopies $H_1: \underline{T} \otimes L \otimes \Delta^1 \otimes \Delta^1 \rightarrow \underline{T} \otimes L \otimes \Delta^1$ and $H_2: \underline{T} \otimes K \otimes \Delta^1 \otimes \Delta^1 \rightarrow \underline{T} \otimes K \otimes \Delta^1$.

The vertical arrows in the last diagram induce the canonical morphisms between the pushouts: $i: \underline{X}'_{a+1} \rightleftharpoons \underline{X}'''_{a+1} : j$. Then $ji = \text{id}_{\underline{X}'_{a+1}}$ and we have to show that $ij \stackrel{s}{\sim} \text{id}_{\underline{X}'''_{a+1}}$. The required simplicial homotopy $H: \underline{X}'''_{a+1} \otimes \Delta^1 \rightarrow \underline{X}'''_{a+1}$ is constructed as a universal map between pushouts of the rows in the following

diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \underline{T} \otimes L \otimes \Delta^1 \otimes \Delta^1 & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \underline{T} \otimes K \otimes \Delta^1 \otimes \Delta^1 & \xrightarrow{F \otimes \Delta^1} & MC(f) \otimes \Delta^1 \\
 \downarrow H_1 & & \downarrow H_2 & & \downarrow MC(f) \otimes (\Delta^1 \rightarrow \Delta^0) \\
 \underline{T} \otimes L \otimes \Delta^1 & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \underline{T} \otimes K \otimes \Delta^1 & \xrightarrow{F} & MC(f)
 \end{array}$$

The case $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{T}op$ may be treated by the same method as simplicial sets, but we would like to exploit the existence of the topological function space

$$\mathrm{hom}^{\mathcal{T}op}: (\mathcal{T}op^D)^{op} \times \mathcal{T}op^D \rightarrow \mathcal{T}op.$$

In order to pass from the topological to simplicial function space one applies the singular functor. It was proven in [6, Proposition 3.1] that the functor $\mathrm{hom}^{\mathcal{T}op}(\underline{T}', \cdot)$ commutes with the pushouts of the form (2). This means that the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathrm{hom}^{\mathcal{T}op}(\underline{T}', \underline{T} \otimes K) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{hom}^{\mathcal{T}op}(\underline{T}', \underline{X}_a) \\
 \downarrow i & & \downarrow \\
 \mathrm{hom}^{\mathcal{T}op}(\underline{T}', \underline{T} \otimes L) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{hom}^{\mathcal{T}op}(\underline{T}', \underline{X}_{a+1})
 \end{array}$$

is a pushout in the category of compactly generated topological spaces.

We need to show that the application of the singular functor preserves this pushout up to homotopy, though it is not true in general. It follows from [24, 13.5.5], since the map $i: \mathrm{hom}^{\mathcal{T}op}(\underline{T}', \underline{T}) \times |K| \rightarrow \mathrm{hom}^{\mathcal{T}op}(\underline{T}', \underline{T}) \times |L|$ may be approximated by a cofibration

$$i': \mathrm{CW}(\mathrm{hom}^{\mathcal{T}op}(\underline{T}', \underline{T})) \times |K| \hookrightarrow \mathrm{CW}(\mathrm{hom}^{\mathcal{T}op}(\underline{T}', \underline{T})) \times |L|$$

and this approximation does not change the weak homotopy type of the pushout by [15, Lemma A.1]. ■

Although the collection of orbits is not, in general, a set of orbits for \mathcal{S}^D , it determines a model structure given by the following

Definition 1.4: We say that a model structure on the category of the D -shaped diagrams of spaces is **generated by a collection \mathcal{O} of orbits** if a map $f: \underline{X} \rightarrow \underline{Y}$ is

- a **weak equivalence** if and only if the induced map

$$\mathrm{hom}(\underline{T}, f): \mathrm{hom}(\underline{T}, \underline{X}) \rightarrow \mathrm{hom}(\underline{T}, \underline{Y})$$

is a weak equivalence of simplicial sets for any orbit $\underline{T} \in \mathcal{O}$;

- a **fibration** if and only if the induced map

$$\mathrm{hom}(\underline{T}, f): \mathrm{hom}(\underline{T}, \underline{X}) \rightarrow \mathrm{hom}(\underline{T}, \underline{Y})$$

is a fibration of simplicial sets for any orbit $\underline{T} \in \mathcal{O}$;

- a **cofibration** if and only if it has the left lifting property with respect to trivial fibrations.

We say that the model structure is **equivariant** if $\mathcal{O} = \mathrm{obj}(\mathcal{O}_D)$.

Example 1.5: If $D = G$ is a group, then a G -orbit is just a homogeneous space G/H for some subgroup $H < G$ (hence the name — orbit). In this case the collection of orbits forms a set $\{G/H | H < G\}$. Weak equivalences from Definition 1.4 coincide with the classical G -equivariant homotopy equivalences introduced by G. Bredon in [5]. The equivariant model category generated by the set of orbits was constructed in [19].

Example 1.6: If $D = (\bullet \rightarrow \bullet)$ is the category with two objects and one non-identity morphism, then for any space $X \in \mathcal{S}$ there corresponds the orbit $T_X = (X \rightarrow *)$. The full subcategory of orbits in this case is equivalent to the category of spaces \mathcal{S} .

Although the full homotopical information in the equivariant model category is encoded, usually, by the class of orbits, for a fixed diagram there exists a set of orbits, which captures its homotopical information.

Definition 1.7: The **category of orbits** of \underline{X} is the full small subcategory of \mathcal{O}_D generated by the set of orbits

$$\{\underline{T}_x = * \times_{\mathrm{colim}_D \underline{X}} \underline{X} | x: * \rightarrow \mathrm{colim}_D \underline{X}\}, \quad \text{for } \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{T}\mathrm{op};$$

or

$$\{\underline{T}_x = \Delta^0 \times_{\mathrm{colim}_D \underline{X}^{\Delta^n}} \underline{X}^{\Delta^n} | x: \Delta^0 \rightarrow \mathrm{colim}_D \underline{X}^{\Delta^n}, n \geq 0\}, \quad \text{for } \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}\mathrm{ets}^{\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}}.$$

The category of orbits of \underline{X} is denoted by $\mathcal{O}_{\underline{X}}$.

Let $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{O}_D$ be a small full subcategory. Then $\mathrm{obj}(\mathcal{E})$ forms a set of orbits for \mathcal{S}^D . For any diagram \underline{X} , we can form a diagram $\underline{X}^{\mathcal{E}}$ of spaces over the category $\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{op}}$ with $\underline{X}^{\mathcal{E}}(\underline{E}) = \mathrm{hom}(\underline{E}, \underline{X})$, $\underline{E} \in \mathrm{obj}(\mathcal{E})$. We will call it the diagram of orbit-points of \underline{X} , since it generalizes the notion of the diagram of fixed-points of a space with a group action. According to [19], there exists a model category on \mathcal{S}^D with a map f being a weak equivalence or fibration if and only if $f^{\mathcal{E}}$ is

a weak equivalence or fibration in the Bousfield–Kan model category on $\mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{E}^{\text{op}}}$. Moreover, the functor $(\cdot)^{\mathcal{E}}$ has a left adjoint and this is a Quillen equivalence of the model categories.

Fix a diagram \underline{X} , and assume that \underline{X} is of orbit type \mathcal{E} , i.e., $\mathcal{O}_{\underline{X}} \subset \mathcal{E}$. We will use the result [11, Lemma 3.7], which shows that $\underline{X}^{\mathcal{E}}$ is \mathcal{E}^{op} -free. This means, essentially, that the orbit-points functor preserves cofibrant diagrams of orbit type \mathcal{E} , which is not typical for a right adjoint.

2. Instrumented classes of maps

In this section we introduce several notions from Category Theory. Some of them are well-known, others are new. The main new concept presented here (Definition 2.11) is the **instrumented class of maps**. This notion will allow us to generalize Quillen’s small object argument in Section 5. Any set of morphisms with small domains (see below) in any category may be thought of as an instrumented class. A non-trivial example of an instrumented (proper) class of maps in the category of diagrams of spaces is given in Section 3.

Throughout this section, let \mathcal{C} be a category and \mathcal{O} a (not necessarily small) full subcategory of \mathcal{C} . $\text{Map } \mathcal{C}$ will denote the category of maps of \mathcal{C} with commutative squares as morphisms.

Definition 2.1: For any category \mathcal{C} , **power category** $\text{Pow } \mathcal{C}$ is the category of all subsets of $\text{obj}(\mathcal{C})$ and *enriched functions* as morphisms, i.e., for any $A, B \subset \text{obj}(\mathcal{C})$ the set of morphisms from A to B is the set of all functions $F: A \rightarrow \text{mor}(\mathcal{C})$, such that for any $a \in A$, $\text{dom}(F(a)) = a$ and $\text{codom}(F(a)) \in B$. Suppose $A, B, C \subset \text{obj}(\mathcal{C})$. If $F_1: A \rightarrow \text{mor}(\mathcal{C})$ is a morphism from A to B and $F_2: B \rightarrow \text{mor}(\mathcal{C})$ is a morphism from B to C in $\text{Pow } \mathcal{C}$, then their composition $F_3 = F_2 \circ F_1$ is defined by $F_3(a) = F_2(\text{codom}(F_1(a))) \circ_{\mathcal{C}} F_1(a)$. For any set A , the identity morphism id_A is a function that corresponds to every $a \in A$, the identity morphism id_a .

Definition 2.2: Let O be a class of objects in \mathcal{C} . For any object c of \mathcal{C} , a set of arrows $\psi_i: o_i \rightarrow c$ has the **factorization property** with respect to O if for every $o \in O$ and $\psi: o \rightarrow c$ there is a commutative triangle in \mathcal{C}

$$\begin{array}{ccc} o & \xrightarrow{\quad} & o_i \\ & \searrow \psi & \swarrow \psi_i \\ & c & \end{array}$$

for some i .

The next definition has appeared in [12, 1.1].

Definition 2.3: A class O of objects in a category \mathcal{C} is **locally small** if for any object $c \in \mathcal{C}$ there exists a set of arrows $\psi_i: o_i \rightarrow c$ with $o_i \in O$, that has the factorization property with respect to O . A full subcategory \mathcal{O} of a category \mathcal{C} is locally small if its class of objects is locally small.

Example 2.4: In the category of sets any class S of pairwise non-isomorphic objects is locally small, since S contains sets of cardinality bigger than any fixed cardinality κ , i.e., for any set X we can find a set Y in S which admits a surjective map $f: Y \rightarrow X$. This map has the factorization property.

Remark 2.5: The condition for a class of maps to be locally small is dual to the classical solution-set condition [31].

The previous definition admits a functorial version:

Definition 2.6: Let \mathcal{O} be a locally small subcategory of \mathcal{C} with a class of objects $O = \text{obj}(\mathcal{O})$. A **factorization setup** for the pair (\mathcal{C}, O) is a functor $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Pow}(\text{Map } \mathcal{C})$ such that, for every $c \in \text{obj}(\mathcal{C})$ and $f \in \text{mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(c, c')$, we have

- (1) $F(c)$ is a set of maps of \mathcal{C} of the form $o \rightarrow c$, $o \in O$;
- (2) $F(c)$ has the factorization property with respect to O ;
- (3) $F(f)$ is a function that corresponds to any element $o \rightarrow c$ of $F(c)$ a commutative square (a morphism in $\text{Map } \mathcal{C}$) of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} o & \longrightarrow & o' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ c & \xrightarrow{f} & c' \end{array}$$

where $o' \rightarrow c'$ is an element $F(c')$.

Example 2.7: In the category of groups the class of free groups has a factorization setup F : for any group G , $F(G)$ is the set with one element $\{\varepsilon_G: F_G \rightarrow G\}$, where ε_G is the canonical map of the free group generated by the elements of G onto G (counit of the adjunction of the free functor with the forgetful functor); for a homomorphism $\varphi: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ of groups, $F(\varphi)$ is defined to be a function which assigns to ε_{G_1} the following commutative square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_{G_1} & \xrightarrow{F_\varphi} & F_{G_2} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ G_1 & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & G_2 \end{array}$$

where F_φ is the result of applying the free functor on the map of underlying sets $\varphi: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$. The factorization property of $F(G)$ follows from the universal property of free groups.

We accept the following convention for the notation: if a class of objects in a category \mathcal{C} is denoted by a capital letter, e.g. I , then the full subcategory of \mathcal{C} generated by the class I is denoted by the script letter \mathcal{I} and the factorization setup for the pair (\mathcal{C}, I) is denoted by the calligraphic letter \mathcal{I} .

Example 2.8: If a full subcategory \mathcal{M} of \mathcal{C} is small, i.e., $M = \text{obj}(\mathcal{M})$ is a set, then there exists a factorization setup $\mathcal{M}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Pow}(\text{Map } \mathcal{C})$, such that for every object c in \mathcal{C} ,

$$\mathcal{M}(c) = \coprod_{m \in M} \text{more}_{\mathcal{C}}(m, c)$$

and for any morphism $f: c \rightarrow c'$ in \mathcal{C} , $\mathcal{M}(f): \mathcal{M}(c) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(c')$ is a function which corresponds to any element $\varphi: m \rightarrow c$ of $\mathcal{M}(c)$ the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} m & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_m} & m \\ \downarrow \varphi & & \downarrow f_\varphi \\ c & \xrightarrow{f} & c'. \end{array}$$

A simple property of factorization setups is given by the following

PROPOSITION 2.9: *Let J and K be two disjoint classes of objects in a category \mathcal{C} , supplied with factorization setups \mathcal{J} and \mathcal{K} for the pairs (\mathcal{C}, J) and (\mathcal{C}, K) , respectively. Then the class of maps $L = J \sqcup K$ is supplied with a factorization setup.*

Proof: For any $c \in \mathcal{C}$ define $\mathcal{L}(c) = \mathcal{J}(c) \sqcup \mathcal{K}(c)$, and for any morphism $f: c \rightarrow c'$ in \mathcal{C} define $\mathcal{L}(f) = \mathcal{J}(f) \sqcup \mathcal{K}(f) \subset \text{obj}(\text{Map } \mathcal{C})$. It is routine to check Definition 2.6. ■

COROLLARY 2.10: *If \mathcal{J} is a factorization setup for the pair (\mathcal{C}, J) and K is a set of objects in \mathcal{C} , then the pair $(\mathcal{C}, J \cup K)$ may be supplied with a factorization setup.*

Proof: This follows from Proposition 2.9 applied for the disjoint classes J and $K' = K \setminus (K \cap J)$. A factorization setup for K' is provided by Example 2.8. ■

Let \mathcal{C} be a category, and let I be a class of maps in \mathcal{C} . Recall [24, 26] that a map in \mathcal{C} is **I -injective** if it has the right lifting property with respect to every map in I ; a map in \mathcal{C} is **I -projective** if it has the left lifting property with respect to every map in I ; a map in \mathcal{C} is **I -cofibration** if it has the left lifting property with respect to every I -injective map; a map in \mathcal{C} is **I -fibration** if it has the right lifting property with respect to every I -projective map. The classes of I -injective maps, I -projective maps, I -cofibrations and I -fibrations are denoted $I\text{-inj}$, $I\text{-proj}$, $I\text{-cof}$ and $I\text{-fib}$, respectively.

Suppose that \mathcal{C} contains all small colimits. A **relative I -cell complex** is a transfinite composition of pushouts of elements of I . We denote the collection of relative I -cell complexes by $I\text{-cell}$.

Let \mathcal{D} be a collection of morphisms of \mathcal{C} , A an object of \mathcal{C} and κ a cardinal. We say that A is **κ -small relative to \mathcal{D}** if, for all κ -filtered ordinals λ and all λ -sequences

$$X_0 \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_\beta \rightarrow \cdots,$$

such that each map $X_\beta \rightarrow X_{\beta+1}$ is in \mathcal{D} for $\beta + 1 < \lambda$, the natural map of sets

$$\operatorname{colim}_{\beta < \lambda} \mathcal{C}(A, X_\beta) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(A, \operatorname{colim}_{\beta < \lambda} X_\beta)$$

is an isomorphism. We say that A is **small relative to \mathcal{D}** if it is κ -small relative to \mathcal{D} for some κ . We say that A is **small** if it is small relative to $\operatorname{mor}(\mathcal{C})$.

Finally, we introduce the main notion of the current section: instrumented class of maps.

Definition 2.11: Let \mathcal{C} be a category. A locally small class I of maps in \mathcal{C} is called **instrumented** (or equipped with an *instrumentation*) if

- (1) there exists a cardinal κ s.t. any $A \in \operatorname{dom}(I)$ is κ -small relative to $I\text{-cell}$,
- (2) there exists a factorization setup \mathcal{I} for the pair $(\operatorname{Map} \mathcal{C}, I)$ (see Definition 2.6).

3. Example: Diagrams of spaces

Let D be a small category. In this section we consider the equivariant model category on the D -shaped diagrams of spaces (see Definition 1.4) and show that the classes of generating cofibrations and generating trivial cofibrations (see below) may be equipped with an instrumentation.

3.1. GENERATING COFIBRATIONS AND GENERATING TRIVIAL COFIBRATIONS.

Let \mathcal{O} be the category of D -orbits. We define the class of generating cofibrations to be $I = \{\underline{T} \otimes \partial \Delta^n \hookrightarrow \underline{T} \otimes \Delta^n\}_{\underline{T} \in \mathcal{O}, n \geq 0}$ and the class of generating trivial

cofibrations to be $J = \{T \otimes \Lambda_k^n \hookrightarrow T \otimes \Delta^n\}_{T \in \mathcal{O}, n \geq k \geq 0}$. Let \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{J} denote the full subcategories of $\text{Map } \mathcal{S}^D$ with classes of objects I and J , respectively; I and J generate the model structure of Definition 1.4 in the sense that the class of trivial fibrations equals $I\text{-inj}$ and the class of fibrations equals $J\text{-inj}$. The following proposition verifies for classes I and J the first part of the definition of the instrumented class.

3.2. SMALLNESS OF DOMAINS.

PROPOSITION 3.1: *Any $T \otimes K \in \text{dom}(I) \cup \text{dom}(J)$, where T is an orbit and K is a finite simplicial set, is \aleph_0 -small with respect to both the I -cell and J -cell.*

Proof: Denote by H the class of maps $\{T \otimes K \rightarrow T \otimes L\}$, where $T \in \mathcal{O}$ and $K \hookrightarrow L$ is a cofibration (inclusion) between finite simplicial sets. It will suffice to discuss colimits of I -cell maps along the first infinite ordinal ω only. Consider an ω -sequence of H -cellular spaces $\emptyset = Z_0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow Z_\alpha \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow Z_\omega$, where $Z_\omega = \text{colim}_{\alpha < \omega} Z_\alpha$. Then by [12, 2.1] the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z_\alpha & \xrightarrow{i} & Z_\omega \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{colim}_D Z_\alpha & \longrightarrow & \text{colim}_D Z_\omega \end{array}$$

is a pullback for any finite ordinal α .

Let $T \otimes K$ be the domain of some map in H and $T \otimes K \xrightarrow{k} Z_\omega$ be any map. On the level of colimit the last map factors through some finite stage α , since $\text{colim}_D T \otimes K = * \otimes K \cong K$ is ω -small in \mathcal{S} , as a finite simplicial set. Hence, by the universal property of a pullback, in the commutative diagram of solid arrows

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} T \otimes K & & & & \\ \downarrow & \searrow f & & \searrow k & \\ \text{colim}_D T \otimes K \cong K & & Z_\alpha & \xrightarrow{i} & Z_\omega \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & \text{colim}_D Z_\alpha & \longrightarrow & \text{colim}_D Z_\omega \end{array}$$

there exists the natural map $f: T \otimes K \rightarrow Z_\alpha$, such that $k = if$. We have obtained the required factorization of the original map k . ■

The following generalization of Proposition 3.1 is required in Section 7.

COROLLARY 3.2: *Any element of the set $\text{dom}(I) \cup \text{dom}(J)$ is \aleph_0 -small with respect to I -cof.*

Proof: This follows from Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 5.3 below. \blacksquare

3.3. FACTORIZATION SETUP. Before constructing a factorization setup for the locally small classes of maps I and J , a factorization setup will be constructed on the locally small class of orbits.

PROPOSITION 3.3: *There exists a factorization setup for the pair $(\mathcal{S}^D, \text{obj}(\mathcal{O}))$.*

Proof: We need to construct the functor $\mathcal{O}: \mathcal{S}^D \rightarrow \text{Pow}(\text{Map}(\mathcal{S}^D))$. Let \underline{X} be an object in \mathcal{S}^D , then define $\mathcal{O}(\underline{X})$ to be a set of all maps of orbits into \underline{X} which are pullbacks of maps $* \rightarrow \text{colim}_D \underline{X}$ along the canonical map $\underline{X} \rightarrow \text{colim}_D \underline{X}$. The factorization property is satisfied by the universal property of the pullback.

If $\text{mor}(\mathcal{S}^D) \ni f: \underline{X} \rightarrow \underline{Y}$, then $\mathcal{O}(f): \mathcal{O}(\underline{X}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\underline{Y})$ is a function which assigns to each element $\{\underline{T}_x \rightarrow \underline{X}\} \in \mathcal{O}(\underline{X})$ the map in $\text{Map}(\mathcal{S}^D)$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{T}_x & \xrightarrow{F} & \underline{T}_y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \underline{X} & \xrightarrow{f} & \underline{Y}, \end{array}$$

where $x \in \text{colim} \underline{X}$ is a point (0-simplex), $y = (\text{colim} f)(x) \in \text{colim} \underline{Y}$, \underline{T}_x and \underline{T}_y are orbits over x and y , respectively, and the map F is induced, naturally, by f .

It is routine to check that \mathcal{O} is a functor. \blacksquare

PROPOSITION 3.4: *There exist factorization setups \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{J} for the pairs $(\text{Map} \mathcal{S}^D, I)$ and $(\text{Map} \mathcal{S}^D, J)$, respectively.*

Proof: We construct the factorization setup only for I ; the construction for J is similar. Note that $\mathcal{I}: \text{Map}(\mathcal{S}^D) \rightarrow \text{Pow}(\text{Map}(\text{Map}(\mathcal{S}^D)))$.

Let $f: \underline{X} \rightarrow \underline{Y}$ be an object of $\text{Map}(\mathcal{S}^D)$, then define for each $n \geq 0$, $\underline{W}_{f,n}$ to be the pullback in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{W}_{f,n} & \longrightarrow & \text{hom}(\partial \Delta^n, \underline{X}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{hom}(\Delta^n, \underline{Y}) & \longrightarrow & \text{hom}(\partial \Delta^n, \underline{Y}). \end{array}$$

For n fixed, let $I_{f,n} \subset \text{obj}(\mathcal{J}\downarrow f)$ which consists of all commutative squares,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{T} \otimes \partial\Delta^n & \longrightarrow & \underline{X} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ \underline{T} \otimes \Delta^n & \longrightarrow & \underline{Y} \end{array}$$

which correspond bijectively, by adjointness, to the commutative diagrams,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{T} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{hom}(\partial\Delta^n, \underline{X}) \\ \searrow \varphi & \downarrow W_{f,n} & \downarrow f^{\partial\Delta^n} \\ & \text{hom}(\Delta^n, \underline{Y}) & \longrightarrow \text{hom}(\partial\Delta^n, \underline{Y}) \end{array}$$

where φ runs through the set $\mathcal{O}(W_{f,n})$. Then define $\mathcal{I}(f) = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} I_{f,n}$. The factorization property holds by [12, 1.3, Lemma].

For each map $\text{mor}(\mathcal{S}^D) \ni g = (g_1, g_2): f_1 \rightarrow f_2$, i.e., for each commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{X}_1 & \xrightarrow{g_1} & \underline{X}_2 \\ f_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow f_2 \\ \underline{Y}_1 & \xrightarrow{g_2} & \underline{Y}_2 \end{array}$$

and for each $n \geq 0$, we obtain a natural map $\tilde{g}_n: W_{f_1,n} \rightarrow W_{f_2,n}$ between pullbacks in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} W_{f_2,n} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{hom}(\partial\Delta^n, \underline{X}_2) & & \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \tilde{g}_n & \nearrow & \searrow & \\ & W_{f_1,n} & \longrightarrow & \text{hom}(\partial\Delta^n, \underline{X}_1) & \\ \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \\ & \text{hom}(\Delta^n, \underline{Y}_1) & \longrightarrow & \text{hom}(\partial\Delta^n, \underline{Y}_1) & \\ \downarrow & \swarrow & \swarrow & \swarrow & \\ \text{hom}(\Delta^n, \underline{Y}_2) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{hom}(\partial\Delta^n, \underline{Y}_2) & & \end{array}$$

Then the morphism $\mathcal{I}(g): \mathcal{I}(f_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(f_2)$ (in the category $\text{Pow}(\text{Map}(\text{Map}(\mathcal{S}^D)))$) is the function which assigns to each element of $\mathcal{I}(f_1)$ the following commutative

cube:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & T_1 \otimes \Delta^n & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Y_1 \\
 & \nearrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 T_1 \otimes \partial\Delta^n & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X_1 & \nearrow & \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & \searrow & T_2 \otimes \Delta^n & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Y_2 \\
 T_2 \otimes \partial\Delta^n & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X_2 & \nearrow &
 \end{array}$$

where the upper face is the respective element of $\mathcal{I}(f_1)$, the lower face is an element of $\mathcal{I}(f_2)$, the right face is the initial map g , and the vertical arrows of the left face are induced by the upper arrow in the following commutative square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 T_1 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & T_2 \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \underline{W}_{f_1,n} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{g}_n} & \underline{W}_{f_2,n}.
 \end{array}$$

This square equals $(\mathcal{O}(\tilde{g}_n))(T_1 \rightarrow \underline{W}_{f_1,n})$. The functoriality follows from the naturality of the construction. ■

THEOREM 3.5: *The classes I and J are equipped with an instrumentation.*

Proof: This follows from Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 3.4. ■

The following result provides an initial motivation for the generalization of Quillen's small object argument to the instrumented classes of maps, which we postpone until the next section.

COROLLARY 3.6: *The factorizations in the model category of diagrams of spaces generated by the full collection of orbits are functorial.*

Proof: This follows from the generalized small object argument 5.1 applied to the instrumented classes I and J . ■

4. A dual example: Pro-spaces

Most of this work is concentrated on the example of diagrams of spaces with the equivariant model structure discussed in the previous section. But we believe that the idea of instrumentation will find applications in other contexts too. Another example of a non-cofibrantly generated model category, which supports our belief, is the category of pro-spaces [20, 27].

After a brief introduction, we will show that the strict model structure on the category of pro-spaces may be equipped with the classes of generating fibrations and generating trivial fibrations which (almost) carry the structure dual to the instrumentation. The similarity is not complete, since we were not able to show the functoriality of the assignment of a set of generating (trivial) fibration to every pro-map. Hence we present here a new method of construction of factorizations in the strict model category on the pro-category, but the question of whether these factorizations functorial remains open.

4.1. PRELIMINARIES ON PRO-CATEGORIES.

Definition 4.1: A small, non-empty category I is **cofiltering** if for every pair of objects i and j there exists an object k together with maps $k \rightarrow i$ and $k \rightarrow j$; and for every pair of morphisms $f, g: i \rightrightarrows j$ there exists a map $h: k \rightarrow i$ with $fh = gh$. A diagram is said to be **cofiltering** if its indexing category is so.

For a category \mathcal{C} , the category **pro- \mathcal{C}** has objects all cofiltering diagrams in \mathcal{C} , and the set of morphisms from a pro-object X indexed by a cofiltering J into a pro-object Y indexed by a cofiltering I is given by the following formula:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{pro-}\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) = \lim_i \mathrm{colim}_j \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X_j, Y_i).$$

A **pro-object** (**pro-morphism**) is an object (morphism) of **pro- \mathcal{C}** . The structure maps of diagrams which represent pro-objects are also called **bonding** maps and denoted by $b_{i_1, i_2}: Y_{i_1} \rightarrow Y_{i_2}$.

This definition of morphisms in a pro-category requires, perhaps, some clarification. By definition, a pro-map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a compatible collection of

maps $\{f_i: X \rightarrow Y_i | i \in I, f_i \in \text{colim}_j \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X_j, Y_i)\}$. By construction of direct limits in the category of sets, $\text{colim}_j \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X_j, Y_i)$ is the set of equivalence classes of the elements of $\coprod_j \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X_j, Y_i)$. If for each equivalence class f_i we choose a representative $f_{j,i}: X_j \rightarrow Y_i$, then we obtain a representative of the pro-morphism f . This motivates the following alternative characterization of the morphisms in $\text{pro-}\mathcal{C}$ (cf. [20, 2.1.2]):

Definition 4.2: A **representative** of a morphism from a pro-object X indexed by a cofiltering I to a pro-object Y indexed by a cofiltering K is a function $\theta: K \rightarrow I$ (not necessarily order-preserving) and morphisms $f_k: X_{\theta(k)} \rightarrow Y_k$ in \mathcal{C} for each $k \in K$ such that if $k \leq k'$ in K , then for some $i \in I$ with $i \geq \theta(k)$ and $i \geq \theta(k')$ the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X_i & \xrightarrow{b_{i, \theta(k')}} & X_{\theta(k')} & \xrightarrow{f_{k'}} & Y_{k'} \\ & \searrow b_{i, \theta(k)} & & & \downarrow b_{k', k} \\ & & X_{\theta(k)} & \xrightarrow{f_k} & Y_k \end{array}$$

A representative $(\theta, \{f_k\})$ is called **strict** [21, p. 36] if θ is a functor and the maps $\{f_k: X_{\theta(k)} \rightarrow Y_k | k \in K\}$ constitute a natural transformation $f: X \circ \theta \rightarrow Y$. In other words, the representative of a morphism is strict if all f_k fit into commutative squares of the following form:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_{\theta(k')} & \xrightarrow{f_{k'}} & Y_{k'} \\ b_{\theta(k'), \theta(k)} \downarrow & & \downarrow b_{k', k} \\ X_{\theta(k)} & \xrightarrow{f_k} & Y_k \end{array}$$

A strict representative $(\theta, \{f_k\})$ is called **levelwise** if the domain and range of f are indexed by the same indexing category I and $\theta = \text{id}_I$.

Remark 4.3: Not every pro-map has a levelwise representative, but every pro-map may be alternated, up to a pro-isomorphism into a pro-map equipped with a levelwise representative (see, e.g., [2, A.3.2]).

Definition 4.4: We say that a pro-map f has a certain property **essentially levelwise** if there exists an isomorphic pro-map f' which has a levelwise representative with this property.

Once we introduce the language, it is easy to say what is the strict model structure on the category of pro-spaces.

Definition 4.5: A pro-map f is a **strict weak equivalence** or a **strict cofibration** if the map f is essentially levelwise weak equivalence or essentially levelwise cofibration of spaces.

With this definition the category of pro-spaces becomes a model category. It was proven first for pro-(simplicial sets) in [20] and in more general settings in [29].

Dan Isaksen showed also in [29] that the classes M of constant fibrations and N of constant trivial fibrations generate the strict model structure in the following sense: the class of strict trivial cofibrations is determined by the left lifting property with respect to M and the class of strict cofibrations is determined by the left lifting property with respect to N . In a different paper [28] Isaksen shows that the constant pro-spaces are countably co-small. That means the class domains and codomains of pro-maps in M and N are \aleph_0 -cosmall and satisfy the dual of the first condition of the instrumentation.

As we mentioned earlier, we were unable to verify the dual of the second condition of instrumentation because of functoriality. But the non-functorial version is readily satisfied. For any pro-morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ choose a representative $(\theta, \{f_k\})$. Note that for every map the set $\{f_k\}$ is equipped with a map from f in such a way that the set of maps of pro-maps $\{f \rightarrow f_k\}$ has the co-factorization property with respect to the class of constant maps, because any map of a pro-space into an arbitrary constant pro-space factors through some entry of the pro-space.

Now let us factor the maps $\{f_k\}$ as cofibrations followed by trivial fibrations $\{f_k = f'_k i_k\}$ and as trivial cofibrations followed by fibrations $\{f_k = f''_k i'_k\}$. We obtain the sets of maps $\{f \rightarrow f'_k\}$ and $\{f \rightarrow f''_k\}$. If we define $\mathcal{M} = \{f \rightarrow f''_k\}$ and $\mathcal{N} = \{f \rightarrow f'_k\}$, then \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} can serve as non-functorial co-factorization setups for the classes M and N respectively. The verification of the co-factorization property uses the lifting axiom in the category of spaces and is very simple.

We constructed a non-functorial co-instrumentations on the classes M and N . It allows for an application of a non-functorial version of the generalized co-small object argument.

5. A generalization of Quillen's small object argument

The main tool for the construction of the factorizations in the model categories and localizations thereof is Quillen's small object argument [24, 26, 33]. However, in its original form, the argument allows for the application in the

cofibrantly generated categories only. We propose here a generalization which may be used in a wider class of the model categories.

PROPOSITION 5.1 (The generalized small object argument): *Suppose \mathcal{C} is a category containing all small colimits, and I is an instrumented class of maps in \mathcal{C} . Then there is a functorial factorization (γ, δ) on \mathcal{C} such that, for all morphisms f in \mathcal{C} , the map $\gamma(f)$ is in I -cell and the map $\delta(f)$ is in I -inj.*

Proof: Given the cardinal κ such that every domain of I is κ -small relative to I -cell, we let λ be a κ -filtered ordinal.

To any map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ we will associate a functor $Z^f: \lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ such that $Z_0^f = X$, and a natural transformation $\rho^f: Z^f \rightarrow Y$ factoring f , i.e., for each $\beta < \lambda$ the triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ & \searrow & \nearrow \rho_\beta^f \\ & Z_\beta^f & \end{array}$$

is commutative. Each map $i_\beta^f: Z_\beta^f \rightarrow Z_{\beta+1}^f$ will be a pushout of a coproduct of maps of $\text{dom}(\mathcal{I}(f)) \subset I$, i.e., $i_\beta^f \in I$ -cell.

We will define Z^f and $\rho^f: Z^f \rightarrow Y$ by transfinite induction, beginning with $Z_0^f = X$ and $\rho_0^f = f$. If we have defined Z_α^f and ρ_α^f for all $\alpha < \beta$ for some limit ordinal β , define $Z_\beta^f = \text{colim}_{\alpha < \beta} Z_\alpha^f$, and define ρ_β^f to be the map induced, naturally, by the ρ_α^f . Having defined Z_β^f and ρ_β^f , we define $Z_{\beta+1}^f$ and $\rho_{\beta+1}^f$ as follows. The class I of maps is instrumented, hence $\mathcal{I}(\rho_\beta^f)$ is the set of all commutative squares of the following form:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & Z_\beta^f \\ g \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho_\beta^f \\ B & \longrightarrow & Y \end{array}$$

where $g \in \text{dom}(\mathcal{I}(\rho_\beta^f)) \subset I$. For $s \in S = \mathcal{I}(\rho_\beta^f)$, let $g_s: A_s \rightarrow B_s$ denote the corresponding map of I . Define $Z_{\beta+1}^f$ to be the pushout in the diagram below,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \coprod_{s \in S} A_s & \longrightarrow & Z_\beta^f \\ \coprod g_s \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \coprod_{s \in S} B_s & \longrightarrow & Z_{\beta+1}^f. \end{array}$$

Define $\rho_{\beta+1}^f$ to be the map induced by ρ_β^f .

For each morphism $g: f_1 \rightarrow f_2$ in the category $\text{Map } \mathcal{C}$, i.e., for each commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_1 & \xrightarrow{g^1} & X_2 \\ f_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow f^2 \\ Y_1 & \xrightarrow{g^2} & Y_2 \end{array}$$

we define a natural transformation $\xi^g: Z^{f_1} \rightarrow Z^{f_2}$ by transfinite induction over small ordinals, beginning with $\xi_0^g = g^1$. If we have defined ξ_α^g for all $\alpha < \beta$ for some limit ordinal β , define $\xi_\beta^g = \text{colim}_{\alpha < \beta} \xi_\alpha^g$. Having defined ξ_β^g , we define $\xi_{\beta+1}^g: Z_{\beta+1}^{f_1} \rightarrow Z_{\beta+1}^{f_2}$ to be the *natural* map induced by $g_\beta = (\xi_\beta^g, g^2): \rho_\beta^{f_1} \rightarrow \rho_\beta^{f_2}$, namely the *unique* map between the pushouts of the horizontal lines of the following diagram which preserves its commutativity:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \coprod_{s \in S} B_s & \longleftarrow & \coprod_{s \in S} A_s & \longrightarrow & Z_\beta^{f_1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \xi_\beta^g \\ \coprod_{t \in T} B_t & \longleftarrow & \coprod_{t \in T} A_t & \longrightarrow & Z_\beta^{f_2} \end{array}$$

Here $S = \mathcal{I}(\rho_\beta^{f_1})$ and $T = \mathcal{I}(\rho_\beta^{f_2})$. The first two vertical maps are induced, naturally, by the function $\mathcal{I}(g_\beta)$. The commutativity of the above diagram follows readily from the condition on the functor \mathcal{I} to be a factorization setup.

The required functorial factorization (γ, δ) is obtained when we reach the limit ordinal λ in the course of our induction. Then we define $\gamma(f): X \rightarrow Z_\lambda^f$ to be the (transfinite) composition of the pushouts, and $\delta(f) = \rho_\lambda^f: Z_\lambda^f \rightarrow Y$. It follows from [26, 2.1.12, 2.1.13] that $\gamma(f)$ is a relative I -cell complex.

To complete the definition of the functorial factorization (see [26, 1.1.1], [25, 1.1.1]) we need to define for each morphism $g: f_1 \rightarrow f_2$ a natural map $(\gamma, \delta)^g: Z_\lambda^{f_1} \rightarrow Z_\lambda^{f_2}$ which makes the appropriate diagram commutative. Take $(\gamma, \delta)^g = \xi_\lambda^g$.

It remains to show that $\delta(f) = \rho_\lambda^f$ has the right lifting property with respect to I . To see this, suppose we have a commutative square as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \xrightarrow{h'} & Z_\lambda^f \\ \iota \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho_\lambda^f \\ D & \xrightarrow{k'} & Y \end{array}$$

where l is a map of I . But the class I is instrumented, hence there exists a map $\text{dom}(\mathcal{I}(\rho_\lambda^f)) \ni g: A \rightarrow B$ such that the map $(h', k') \in \text{mor}(\text{Map } \mathcal{C})$ factors through g . Hence it is enough to construct a lift in the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{h} & Z_\lambda^f \\ g \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho_\lambda^f \\ B & \xrightarrow{k} & Y \end{array}$$

Due to the condition (1) of Definition 2.11 the object A is κ -small relative to I -cell, i.e., there is an ordinal $\beta < \lambda$ such that h is the composite $A \xrightarrow{h_\beta} Z_\beta^f \rightarrow Z_\lambda^f$. By construction, there is a map $B \xrightarrow{k_\beta} Z_{\beta+1}^f$ such that $k_\beta g = i_\beta h_\beta$ and $k = \rho_{\beta+1}^f k_\beta$, where i_β is the map $Z_\beta^f \rightarrow Z_{\beta+1}^f$. The composition $B \xrightarrow{k_\beta} Z_{\beta+1}^f \rightarrow Z_\lambda^f$ is the required lift in our diagram. ■

The following results are straightforward generalizations of [26, 2.1.15, 2.1.16], respectively. We record them for future reference.

COROLLARY 5.2: *Suppose I is an instrumented class of maps in a category \mathcal{C} with all small colimits. Then given $f: A \rightarrow B$ in I -cof, there is a $g: A \rightarrow C$ in I -cell such that f is a retract of g by a map that fixes A .*

PROPOSITION 5.3: *Suppose I is an instrumented class of maps in a category \mathcal{C} that has all small colimits. Suppose the domains of I are small relative to I -cell, and A is some object that is small relative to I -cell. Then A is in fact small relative to I -cof.*

6. Properness

In this section we show that the model category on the diagrams of spaces generated by the collection of all orbits is proper. Recall that a model structure is **left proper** if weak equivalences are preserved under pushouts along cofibrations. Dually, a model structure is **right proper** if weak equivalences are preserved under pullbacks along fibrations. A model category is **proper** if it is both left and right proper.

6.1. RIGHT PROPERNESS. This is an immediate consequence of the right properness in the category of simplicial sets.

In more detail, given a pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{W} & \longrightarrow & \underline{X} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \sim \\ \underline{Z} & \longrightarrow \twoheadrightarrow & \underline{Y} \end{array}$$

and an orbit \underline{T} , the functor $\mathrm{hom}(\underline{T}, \cdot)$ preserves weak equivalences, fibrations and pullbacks. Therefore, there is a pullback in the category of simplicial sets:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{hom}(\underline{T}, \underline{W}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{hom}(\underline{T}, \underline{X}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \sim \\ \mathrm{hom}(\underline{T}, \underline{Z}) & \longrightarrow \twoheadrightarrow & \mathrm{hom}(\underline{T}, \underline{Y}) \end{array}$$

Hence, the right properness of \mathcal{S} implies that the map $\mathrm{hom}(\underline{T}, \underline{W}) \rightarrow \mathrm{hom}(\underline{T}, \underline{Z})$ is a weak equivalence of spaces for any orbit \underline{T} , thus the map of diagrams $\underline{W} \rightarrow \underline{Z}$ is the weak equivalence of diagrams.

6.2. LEFT PROPERNESS. The left properness is less straightforward. In fact, we provide different proofs for the diagrams of simplicial sets and the diagrams of topological spaces.

Let \mathcal{S} be the category of simplicial sets.

PROPOSITION 6.1: *Every diagram $\underline{X} \in \mathcal{S}^D$ is cofibrant in the equivariant model category.*

Proof: Fix the diagram \underline{X} ; we need to construct a lift in any commutative square of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \emptyset & \longrightarrow & \underline{Y} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \sim \\ \underline{X} & \longrightarrow & \underline{Z} \end{array}$$

Let \mathcal{E} be a small, full subcategory of the category of D -orbits, such that \underline{X} is of orbit type \mathcal{E} and all the free D -orbits are in \mathcal{E} , i.e., there is the imbedding $D \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{op}}$ that associates to each $d \in \mathrm{obj}(D)$ the free orbit generated in d .

Now we apply the functor $(\cdot)^{\mathcal{E}}$ on the commutative square above and obtain a commutative square in the category of $\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -diagrams of simplicial sets. Consider the Bousfield–Kan model category on the $\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -diagrams; then the trivial fibration between D -diagrams becomes the trivial fibration between $\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -diagrams.

Since \underline{X} is of orbit type \mathcal{E}^{op} , $\underline{X}^{\mathcal{E}}$ is \mathcal{E}^{op} -free by [11, 3.7]. But in the Bousfield–Kan model category free diagrams of simplicial sets are cofibrant, so there exists a lift in the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \emptyset & \longrightarrow & \underline{Y}^{\mathcal{E}} \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow \sim \\ \underline{X}^{\mathcal{E}} & \longrightarrow & \underline{Z}^{\mathcal{E}} \end{array}$$

By Yoneda’s lemma for any $d \in D$ and an arbitrary diagram \underline{W} , $\underline{W}(d) \cong \underline{W}^{\mathcal{E}}(F^d)$. Hence, the lift in the above square naturally ‘reduces’ in the obvious sense to the lift in the original square. ■

Remark 6.2: The last proposition settles affirmatively the conjecture stated in [12, 2.3].

COROLLARY 6.3: *The equivariant model category on \mathcal{S}^D is left proper.*

Proof: This follows from Proposition 6.1 and C. L. Reedy’s theorem [34] (see [24, 13.1.2] for a modern exposition) which asserts that every pushout of a weak equivalence between cofibrant objects along a cofibration is a weak equivalence. ■

PROPOSITION 6.4: *The equivariant model category on the diagrams of topological spaces is left proper.*

Proof: Suppose we are given a pushout in the category \mathcal{Top}^D of diagrams of topological spaces:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{A} & \xrightarrow{f} & \underline{B} \\ \downarrow g & \sim & \downarrow \\ \underline{X} & \longrightarrow & \underline{Y} \end{array}$$

We have to show that the map $\underline{X} \rightarrow \underline{Y}$ is a weak equivalence.

By Corollary 5.2 the cofibration $g: \underline{A} \hookrightarrow \underline{X}$ is a retract of an I -cellular map $g': \underline{A} \hookrightarrow \underline{X}'$. Hence, the pushout of f along g is a retract of the pushout of f along g' and it will suffice to show that the last map is a weak equivalence.

The I -cellular cofibration g' has a decomposition into a (transfinite) sequence $\underline{A} = \underline{A}_0 \hookrightarrow \underline{A}_1 \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow \underline{A}_n \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow \underline{X}'$, such that \underline{A}_{n+1} is a pushout of \underline{A}_n along a map from I . Therefore, the pushout of f along g is the colimit of the consecutive pushouts of f along the maps $g_n: \underline{A}_n \hookrightarrow \underline{A}_{n+1}$ for all n . Hence, by

Proposition 3.1, it is enough to show that the pushout of a weak equivalence along g_n is a weak equivalence.

Any map in I has a form $i: \underline{T} \otimes K \hookrightarrow \underline{T} \otimes L$, where $K \hookrightarrow L$ is an inclusion of finite simplicial sets and \underline{T} is an orbit. Consider the following commutative diagram in which the left and right squares are pushouts:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \underline{T} \otimes K & \longrightarrow & \underline{A}_n & \xrightarrow[\sim]{f_n} & \underline{B}_n \\ \downarrow i & & \downarrow g_n & & \downarrow \\ \underline{T} \otimes L & \longrightarrow & \underline{A}_{n+1} & \longrightarrow & \underline{B}_{n+1} \end{array}$$

hence the outer square is a pushout.

By the axiom Q1, which was verified in Proposition 1.3, for any orbit \underline{T}' , in the induced diagram of simplicial sets

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{hom}(\underline{T}', \underline{T} \otimes K) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{hom}(\underline{T}', \underline{A}_n) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\mathrm{hom}(\underline{T}', f_n)} & \mathrm{hom}(\underline{T}', \underline{B}_n) \\ \downarrow \mathrm{hom}(\underline{T}', i) & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & P_1 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & P_2 & \\ & \swarrow \quad \searrow & & \swarrow \quad \searrow & \\ \mathrm{hom}(\underline{T}', \underline{T} \otimes L) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{hom}(\underline{T}', \underline{A}_{n+1}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{hom}(\underline{T}', \underline{B}_{n+1}) \end{array}$$

the left and outer squares are, up to homotopy (i.e., up to a weak equivalence), pushout diagrams. Consider the pushouts P_1 and P_2 of the left and outer square respectively, i.e.,

$$P_1 = \mathrm{hom}(\underline{T}', \underline{T} \otimes L) \coprod_{\mathrm{hom}(\underline{T}', \underline{T} \otimes K)} \mathrm{hom}(\underline{T}', \underline{A}_n)$$

and

$$P_2 = \mathrm{hom}(\underline{T}', \underline{T} \otimes L) \coprod_{\mathrm{hom}(\underline{T}', \underline{T} \otimes K)} \mathrm{hom}(\underline{T}', \underline{B}_n);$$

then the natural maps $P_1 \rightarrow \mathrm{hom}(\underline{T}', \underline{A}_{n+1})$ and $P_2 \rightarrow \mathrm{hom}(\underline{T}', \underline{B}_{n+1})$ are weak equivalences of simplicial sets.

The map i in $\mathcal{T}\mathrm{op}^D$ is a monomorphism, therefore the induced map $\mathrm{hom}(\underline{T}', i)$ is a monomorphism (or a cofibration) of simplicial sets, hence its cobase change $\mathrm{hom}(\underline{T}', \underline{A}_n) \rightarrow P_1$ is also a cofibration.

Finally, [24, 7.2.14] implies that $P_2 = P_1 \coprod_{\mathrm{hom}(\underline{T}', \underline{A}_n)} \mathrm{hom}(\underline{T}', \underline{B}_n)$ and the map $P_1 \rightarrow P_2$ is a weak equivalence by the left properness of simplicial

sets. Therefore, we can conclude from the ‘2 out of 3’ property that the map $\text{hom}(\underline{T}', \underline{A}_{n+1}) \rightarrow \text{hom}(\underline{T}', \underline{B}_{n+1})$ is a weak equivalence of simplicial sets and, hence, the original map $\underline{A}_{n+1} \rightarrow \underline{B}_{n+1}$ is a weak equivalence of diagrams of topological spaces. ■

7. Construction of the localization functor

Let S be a class of maps in \mathcal{S}^D . Without loss of generality, we may assume that the elements of S satisfy the conditions listed in 7.1 below. In this section we construct for such class S a coaugmented functor $L_S: \mathcal{S}^D \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^D$ such that for each $\underline{X} \in \mathcal{S}^D$, $L_S(\underline{X})$ is S -local and the natural map $j_{\underline{X}}: \underline{X} \rightarrow L_S(\underline{X})$ is an S -equivalence (see below). We prove also that the natural map $j_{\underline{X}}: \underline{X} \rightarrow L_S \underline{X}$ is initial (up to homotopy) among all the maps of \underline{X} into S -local spaces, thus $L_S \underline{X}$ is characterized up to weak equivalence.

Our construction is an extension of the classical constructions of localization functors with respect to a set of maps in a cofibrantly generated model category satisfying additional conditions [4], [24]. A useful summary of the classical construction is available at [22, Appendix].

7.1. PRELIMINARIES ON S -LOCAL SPACES AND S -EQUIVALENCES. Throughout this section let us suppose that S is a class of maps such that every $f \in S, f: \underline{A} \hookrightarrow \underline{B}$ is a cofibration between cofibrant diagrams. Assume, for simplicity, that all cofibrations in S are non-trivial. We are going to construct the localization functor with respect to S in this section, provided that S satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) The class of horns of S

$$\text{Hor}(S) = \{\Delta^n \otimes \underline{A} \coprod_{\partial \Delta^n \otimes \underline{A}} \partial \Delta^n \otimes \underline{B} \rightarrow \Delta^n \otimes \underline{B} \mid S \ni f: \underline{A} \rightarrow \underline{B}, n \geq 0\}$$

may be equipped with an instrumentation with a factorization setup \mathcal{H} .

- (2) All the elements of $\text{dom}(\text{Hor}(S))$ are κ -small with respect to cofibrations of diagrams for some fixed cardinal κ .

These conditions are satisfied for example by any set of non-trivial cofibrations S . An example of a proper class of maps satisfying the conditions above will be given in Section 8 below.

Definition 7.1: Let S be a class of maps such that every $f \in S, f: \underline{A} \hookrightarrow \underline{B}$ is a cofibration between cofibrant diagrams.

- A diagram \underline{X} is called **S -local** if \underline{X} is fibrant and for every $f \in S$, the induced map

$$\mathrm{hom}(f, \underline{X}): \mathrm{hom}(\underline{B}, \underline{X}) \rightarrow \mathrm{hom}(\underline{A}, \underline{X})$$

is a weak equivalence of simplicial sets. If S consists of the single map $f: A \rightarrow B$, then an S -local diagram will also be called f -local.

- A map $g: \underline{C} \rightarrow \underline{D}$ is an **S -local equivalence** (or just an **S -equivalence**) if for any cofibrant replacement \tilde{g} of g and every S -local diagram \underline{P} the induced map

$$\mathrm{hom}(\tilde{g}, \underline{P}): \mathrm{hom}(\underline{\tilde{D}}, \underline{P}) \rightarrow \mathrm{hom}(\underline{\tilde{C}}, \underline{P})$$

is a weak equivalence of simplicial sets. If S consists of the single map $f: A \rightarrow B$, then an S -local equivalence will also be called an f -local equivalence (or an f -equivalence).

Remark 7.2: Of course one needs to check that the notion of S -equivalence is well-defined, i.e., it does not depend on the choice of the cofibrant replacement. It follows from [24, 9.7.2]. We shall use also an S -local version of the Whitehead theorem (see [24, 3.2.13] for the proof).

PROPOSITION 7.3 (*S -local Whitehead theorem*): *A map $g: Q_1 \rightarrow Q_2$ is a weak equivalence of S -local spaces if and only if g is an S -local equivalence.*

PROPOSITION 7.4: *A cofibration $g: \underline{C} \hookrightarrow \underline{D}$ of cofibrant diagrams is an S -local equivalence if and only if g has the homotopy left lifting property (see [24, 9.4.2] for the definition) with respect to all the maps of the form $\underline{Z} \rightarrow *$, where \underline{Z} is an S -local diagram.*

Proof: Follows immediately from the definitions and [24, 9.3.1]. ■

PROPOSITION 7.5: *A diagram \underline{X} is S -local if and only if the map $\underline{X} \rightarrow *$ has the right lifting property with respect to the following families of maps:*

- generating trivial cofibrations J ;
- $\mathrm{Hor}(S)$.

Proof: The right lifting property for the members of J is satisfied since \underline{X} is fibrant by definition. For every element $f \in \mathrm{Hor}(S)$ it follows, by adjunction, from the right lifting property of the map $\mathrm{hom}(f, \underline{X}): \mathrm{hom}(\underline{B}, \underline{X}) \rightarrow \mathrm{hom}(\underline{A}, \underline{X})$ with respect to the generating cofibrations of simplicial sets $\{\partial\Delta^n \hookrightarrow \Delta^n \mid n \geq 0\}$. ■

PROPOSITION 7.6: *The class of maps $K = J \cup \text{Hor}(S)$ may be equipped with an instrumentation.*

Proof: The existence of a factorization setup \mathcal{K} for the pair $(\text{Map } S^D, K)$ follows from Proposition 2.9, since we have chosen only non-trivial cofibrations in S , therefore the classes J and $\text{Hor}(S)$ are disjoint. The existence of the cardinal κ such that the elements of $\text{dom}(K)$ are κ -small relative to K -cell follows from the assumptions on S . ■

7.2. CONSTRUCTION OF THE FUNCTOR L_S . We construct the coaugmented functor L_S by applying the generalized small object argument, with respect to the instrumented (by Proposition 7.6) class of maps K , to factorize the map $\underline{X} \rightarrow *$ into a K -cellular map, followed by a K -injective map. The obtained functorial factorization

$$\underline{X} \xrightarrow{j_X} L_S(\underline{X}) \longrightarrow *$$

provides us with the coaugmented functor L_S , such that for any diagram \underline{X} , the diagram $L_S(\underline{X})$ is S -local by Proposition 7.5.

It remains to show that the natural coaugmentation map j_X is an S -equivalence.

LEMMA 7.7: *Every map in K is an S -equivalence.*

Proof: Every map $g \in J$ is a trivial cofibration between cofibrant diagrams, i.e., for any S -local (in particular fibrant) diagram \underline{Z} , $\text{hom}(g, \underline{Z})$ is a trivial fibration of simplicial sets. Hence, g is an S -equivalence.

It remains to show that every map in $\text{Hor}(S)$ is an S -equivalence. Every map in $\text{Hor}(S)$ is a cofibration between cofibrant objects, hence, by Proposition 7.4, it is enough to show that every map in $\text{Hor}(S)$ has the *homotopy* left lifting property with respect to any map of the form $\underline{Z} \rightarrow *$, where \underline{Z} is S -local. This last property is implied by [24, 9.4.8(1)]. ■

LEMMA 7.8: *A pushout of an S -equivalence g that is also a cofibration between cofibrant objects*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow g & & \downarrow \\ B & \longrightarrow & Y \end{array}$$

in the equivariant model category on S^D is an S -equivalence again.

Proof: The following proof is a straightforward generalization of [24, 1.2.21]. This argument significantly relies on the left properness.

Factor the map $\underline{A} \rightarrow \underline{X}$ as $\underline{A} \xrightarrow{u} \underline{C} \xrightarrow{v} \underline{X}$, where u is a cofibration and v is a trivial fibration. If we let \underline{D} be the pushout $\underline{B} \amalg_{\underline{A}} \underline{C}$, then we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \underline{A} & \xrightarrow{u} & \underline{C} & \xrightarrow{v} & \underline{X} \\ \downarrow g & & \downarrow k & \sim & \downarrow h \\ \underline{B} & \xrightarrow{s} & \underline{D} & \xrightarrow{t} & \underline{Y} \end{array}$$

in which u and s are cofibrations, and so \underline{C} and \underline{D} are cofibrant. [24, 7.2.14] implies that \underline{Y} is a pushout $\underline{X} \amalg_{\underline{C}} \underline{D}$. Since k is a cofibration and we are working in a (left) proper model category (by Corollary 6.3 and Proposition 6.4), the map t is a weak equivalence. Thus, k is a cofibrant approximation to h , and so it is sufficient to show that k induces a weak equivalence of mapping spaces to every S -local diagram.

In any simplicial model category, a class of maps with the homotopy left lifting property with respect to a map p is closed under pushouts [24, 9.4.9]. Consider the collection of maps $P = \{p_{\underline{Z}}: \underline{Z} \rightarrow * | \underline{Z} \text{ is } S\text{-local}\}$. Then, by Proposition 7.4, a cofibration between cofibrant objects is an S -local equivalence if and only if it has the homotopy left lifting property with respect to any element of P . But the last property is preserved under pushouts, hence k in the diagram above is an S -equivalence and so is h . ■

LEMMA 7.9: *The class of S -equivalences between cofibrant diagrams in the simplicial model category S^D is closed under coproducts (in the category of maps), and the class of S -equivalences which are cofibrations is closed under transfinite compositions in the left proper model category S^D .*

Proof: Let g_α be an S -equivalence for each $\alpha \in A$; then for any S -local diagram \underline{Z}

$$\mathrm{hom}\left(\coprod_{\alpha \in A} g_\alpha, \underline{Z}\right) = \prod_{\alpha \in A} \mathrm{hom}(g_\alpha, \underline{Z}),$$

where $\mathrm{hom}(g_\alpha, \underline{Z})$ is a weak equivalence of simplicial sets, therefore their product is also a weak equivalence.

Let $\underline{E}_0 \hookrightarrow \underline{E}_1 \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow \underline{E}_\beta \hookrightarrow \cdots$ be a λ -sequence of cofibrations which are also S -equivalences. By [24, 17.9.4] we may suppose, without loss of generality,

that all the diagrams \underline{E}_i are cofibrant. Then for each S -local diagram \underline{Z} there is a λ -sequence of trivial fibrations of simplicial sets

$$\mathrm{hom}(\underline{E}_0, \underline{Z}) \leftarrow \mathrm{hom}(\underline{E}_1, \underline{Z}) \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow \mathrm{hom}(\underline{E}_\beta, \underline{Z}) \leftarrow \cdots.$$

The inverse limit of the last sequence is a homotopy inverse limit, in particular, the natural map $\mathrm{hom}(\underline{E}_0, \underline{Z}) \leftarrow \lim_{\beta < \lambda} \mathrm{hom}(\underline{E}_\beta, \underline{Z}) = \mathrm{hom}(\mathrm{colim}_{\beta < \lambda} \underline{E}_\beta, \underline{Z})$ is a weak equivalence (compare to the inverse limit of the constant tower). Thus, the natural map $\underline{E}_0 \hookrightarrow \mathrm{colim}_{\beta < \lambda} \underline{E}_\beta$ is an S -equivalence. ■

PROPOSITION 7.10: *Every map in K -cell is an S -equivalence.*

Proof: This follows from Lemmas 7.7, 7.8 and 7.9. ■

COROLLARY 7.11: *The coaugmented functor L_S is the S -localization functor.*

Proof: By the construction of L_S , the natural map $j_{\underline{X}}: \underline{X} \rightarrow L_S \underline{X}$ is in K -cell, so, by the proposition above, $j_{\underline{X}}$ is an S -equivalence. We postpone the proof of universality of L_S until the next section. ■

LEMMA 7.12: *For any diagram \underline{X} , either cofibrant or not, the coaugmentation morphism $j_{\underline{X}}: \underline{X} \rightarrow L_S \underline{X}$ satisfies: for any S -local diagram \underline{P} ,*

$$\mathrm{hom}(j_{\underline{X}}, \underline{P}): \mathrm{hom}(L_S \underline{X}, \underline{P}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{hom}(\underline{X}, \underline{P})$$

is a weak equivalence of simplicial sets.

Proof: Corollary 7.11 implies that $j_{\underline{X}}$ is an S -equivalence, hence the result follows from Definition 7.1 and [24, 13.2.2(1)]. ■

7.3. EXAMPLES OF EQUIVARIANT LOCALIZATIONS. Before we continue to study the properties of the functor L_S , we would like to consider some elementary examples of the equivariant localization in order to concretize the discussion and to understand the difference between the equivariant localization and the localization in the levelwise model category of diagrams.

In Section 8 we will consider more complicated examples of equivariant localization, where we will be able to understand completely the effect of the localization on the equivariant homotopy type of diagrams of spaces.

Let us start with simple examples in the category of diagrams indexed by small category $D = J = \{\bullet \rightarrow \bullet\}$, i.e., in the category of maps of spaces. Let us take

$$S = \left\{ f: \begin{array}{ccc} \emptyset & & \emptyset \\ \downarrow & \longrightarrow & \downarrow \\ \emptyset & & * \end{array}, \quad g: \begin{array}{ccc} \emptyset & & * \\ \downarrow & \longrightarrow & \downarrow \\ \emptyset & & * \end{array} \right\};$$

then a diagram, for simplicity, of topological spaces is S -local iff it is levelwise contractible. In particular, if we construct the S -localization functor in any levelwise model category on the maps of spaces, we obtain the functor L_S , such that for each map of spaces $(X \rightarrow Y)$,

$$L_S \left(\begin{array}{c} X \\ \downarrow \\ Y \end{array} \right) \simeq \begin{array}{c} * \\ \downarrow \\ * \end{array}.$$

That is not true, however, for the equivariant model category on maps of spaces. Again, a map of spaces is S -local iff it is levelwise contractible, but that does not imply that every S -local diagram is contractible. A beautiful example was introduced by E. Dror Farjoun and A. Zabrodsky [14]:

$$\underline{Z} = \begin{array}{ccc} & \text{---} & * \\ & \swarrow & \downarrow \\ \text{---} & \text{---} & * \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & * \end{array} \neq \underline{pt}.$$

It follows from the calculation of $\text{hom} \left(\left(\begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \downarrow \\ \cdot \end{array} \right), \underline{Z} \right) \simeq S^1 \vee S^1 \neq *$.

But \underline{Z} is S -local, which means $L_S(\underline{Z}) \simeq \underline{Z} \neq \underline{pt}$. In fact, the main result of [7] is that one must localize with respect to a proper class S of maps in order to ensure that $L_S(\underline{X}) \simeq \underline{pt}$ for every diagram \underline{X} in the equivariant model category of maps of spaces.

Let us consider another example. Take $f: \left(\begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \downarrow \\ \cdot \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \underline{pt}$. It is fairly simple to describe the family of f -local diagrams. Let us assume again that $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{T}\text{op}$, so every diagram is fibrant. Then the diagram $\left(\begin{array}{c} A \\ \downarrow \\ B \end{array} \right)$ is f -local iff f^* in the diagram below is a weak equivalence of spaces:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{hom} \left(\left(\begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \downarrow \\ \cdot \end{array} \right), \left(\begin{array}{c} A \\ \downarrow \\ B \end{array} \right) \right) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{hom} \left(\left(\begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \downarrow \\ \cdot \end{array} \right), \left(\begin{array}{c} A \\ \downarrow \\ B \end{array} \right) \right) \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ A & \xrightarrow[\sim]{f^*} & A \times_B A. \end{array}$$

This characterization provides us with a large family of simple examples of f -local maps (e.g., trivial fibrations of spaces) but it is not immediately applicable to computations. Instead, we suggest to apply Lemma 7.8 on some known f -equivalence, e.g., f itself. First we must replace f by a cofibration \tilde{f} . One possible choice of this replacement is the following:

Now take the pushout of \tilde{f} along the map $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \downarrow \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix}\right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \downarrow \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Then we obtain the following f -equivalence:

Then we conclude from Corollary 7.18 below that $L_f(X)$ is contractible.

Further application of this method allows for many elementary computations of equivariant localizations. We will give just one more example (the proof is left to the reader):

7.4. UNIVERSALITY AND OTHER PROPERTIES OF L_S .

PROPOSITION 7.13 (L_S is initial): *For any map $g: X \rightarrow P$ into an S -local diagram there exists a factorization $\underline{X} \rightarrow L_S \underline{X} \rightarrow P$ which is unique up to simplicial homotopy.*

Proof: By the construction of L_S , the natural map $j_X: X \rightarrow L_S X$ is in K -cell. By Proposition 7.5 the map $P \rightarrow *$ is in K -inj. Then in the diagram

the lift exists and provides the required factorization.

To show the uniqueness up to homotopy of the factorization above, consider the map $\text{hom}(j_{\underline{X}}, \underline{P}): \text{hom}(L_S \underline{X}, \underline{P}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{hom}(\underline{X}, \underline{P})$, which is a weak equivalence by Lemma 7.12, since \underline{P} is S -local. Then, by [24, 9.5.10], $j_{\underline{X}}$ induces a bijection of the sets of simplicial homotopy classes of maps $j_{\underline{X}}^*: [L_S \underline{X}, \underline{P}] \cong [\underline{X}, \underline{P}]$. The uniqueness, up to simplicial homotopy, of the lifting follows from the injectivity of the map $j_{\underline{X}}^*$. ■

Remark 7.14: By [33, II.2.5], if two maps are simplicially homotopic, then they are both left and right homotopic.

COROLLARY 7.15: *The natural maps $j_{L_S \underline{X}}, L_S(j_{\underline{X}}): L_S \underline{X} \rightrightarrows L_S L_S \underline{X}$ are simplicially homotopic weak equivalences.*

Proof: The naturality of j implies that the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{X} & \xrightarrow{j_{\underline{X}}} & L_S \underline{X} \\ j_{\underline{X}} \downarrow & & \downarrow j_{L_S \underline{X}} \\ L_S \underline{X} & \xrightarrow{L_S(j_{\underline{X}})} & L_S L_S \underline{X} \end{array}$$

is strictly commutative. Two possible paths provide two different factorizations of the map $\underline{X} \rightarrow L_S L_S \underline{X}$. By Proposition 7.13 the natural maps $j_{L_S \underline{X}}, L_S(j_{\underline{X}})$ are simplicially homotopic. Proposition 7.3 implies that the map $j_{L_S \underline{X}}$ is a weak equivalence; so does $L_S(j_{\underline{X}})$. ■

PROPOSITION 7.16 (Divisibility): *For any two maps $g, h: L_S \underline{X} \rightrightarrows \underline{P}$ into any S -local diagram \underline{P} , one has $h \stackrel{s}{\sim} g$ if and only if $h \circ j_{\underline{X}} \stackrel{s}{\sim} g \circ j_{\underline{X}}$.*

Proof: Suppose $h \stackrel{s}{\sim} g$. The simplicial homotopy between the maps h and g is a 1-simplex in $\text{hom}(L_S \underline{X}, \underline{P})$, so its image under $j_{\underline{X}}^*$ provides a simplicial homotopy between $h \circ j_{\underline{X}}$ and $g \circ j_{\underline{X}}$.

Conversely, if $h \circ j_{\underline{X}} \stackrel{s}{\sim} g \circ j_{\underline{X}}$, then the injectivity of the map $j_{\underline{X}}^*: [L_S \underline{X}, \underline{P}] \cong [\underline{X}, \underline{P}]$ implies that $h \stackrel{s}{\sim} g$. ■

PROPOSITION 7.17 (No zero divisors): *Suppose \underline{W} is a retract of $L_S \underline{X}$ for some \underline{X} . If the composition $\underline{X} \rightarrow L_S \underline{X} \rightarrow \underline{W}$ is null homotopic (see Appendix A for the definition), then $\underline{W} \simeq *$.*

Proof: First notice that the diagram \underline{W} is S -local (in particular, fibrant) as a retract of the S -local diagram $L_S \underline{X}$. Suppose that the composition $\underline{X} \rightarrow$

$L_S \underline{X} \rightarrow \underline{W}$ is null homotopic; then there exists, by Proposition A.3, a fibrant contractible diagram \underline{U} such that the following solid arrow diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \underline{X} & \xrightarrow{j_X} & L_S \underline{X} & \xrightarrow[r]{k \circ i} & \underline{W} \\
 & \searrow & \downarrow p & \nearrow q & \\
 & & \underline{U} \simeq * & &
 \end{array}$$

The dashed arrow p exists by the universal property of Proposition 7.13 and makes the left triangle commutative. By the divisibility property of Proposition 7.16, $r \circ i \circ q \circ p$, since, upon precomposing with j_X , these two maps are equal.

Recall that \underline{W} is a retract of $L_S \underline{X}$, hence $\text{id}_{\underline{W}} = r \circ i \circ q \circ (p \circ i)$. Therefore, \underline{W} is a *homotopy* retract of \underline{U} .

Now apply the general machinery for detection of weak equivalences [24]: the map $q: \underline{U} \rightarrow \underline{W}$ between two fibrant spaces is a weak equivalence if and only if for any cofibrant \underline{A} the induced map on simplicial homotopy classes $q_*: [\underline{A}, \underline{U}] \rightarrow [\underline{A}, \underline{W}]$ is a (natural) bijection. But $[\underline{A}, \underline{U}] = *$, as \underline{U} is fibrant and contractible, and $[\underline{A}, \underline{W}]$ is a retract of $[\underline{A}, \underline{U}]$, i.e., $[\underline{A}, \underline{W}] = *$. Hence, $\underline{W} \simeq \underline{U} \simeq *$. ■

COROLLARY 7.18: $L_S(\underline{U}) \simeq *$ for any contractible diagram \underline{U} and $L_S(\text{nullmap})$ is a null map.

Proof: $L_S(\underline{U})$ is a retract of $L_S(\underline{U})$ by the identity morphism. Moreover, $\text{id}_{L_S(\underline{U})} \circ j_U: \underline{U} \rightarrow L_S(\underline{U})$ is null, since \underline{U} itself is contractible. Hence, by the proposition above $L_S(\underline{U}) \simeq *$.

The second property follows immediately from the first one. ■

PROPOSITION 7.19: A map $g: \underline{X} \rightarrow \underline{Y}$ is an S -local equivalence if and only if $L_S(g): L_S \underline{X} \rightarrow L_S \underline{Y}$ is a weak equivalence.

Proof: In the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \underline{X} & \xrightarrow{g} & \underline{Y} \\
 j_X \downarrow & & \downarrow j_Y \\
 L_S \underline{X} & \xrightarrow{L_S(g)} & L_S \underline{Y}
 \end{array}$$

the vertical arrows are S -local equivalences by construction. Hence, the map g is an S -local equivalence if and only if $L_S(g)$ is an S -local equivalence by the

‘2 out of 3’ property of S -equivalences [24, 3.2.3]. But $L_S(g)$ is a map between two S -local spaces, hence $L_S(g)$ is an S -local equivalence if and only if $L_S(g)$ is a weak equivalence by Proposition 7.3. ■

The coaugmentation map j_X is a cofibration for any diagram X , hence the subcategory of cofibrant diagrams is stable under localizations. Consider the restriction of L_S to the subcategory of cofibrant objects (do nothing for the diagrams of simplicial sets) and denote the new functor by L_S^r . Then L_S^r is terminal with respect to S -local equivalences. In more detail, we have the following

PROPOSITION 7.20 (L_S^r is terminal): *On the subcategory of cofibrant objects the coaugmentation map $j_X: X \rightarrow L_S X = L_S^r X$ is terminal, up to homotopy, among all S -local equivalences, i.e., for any S -equivalence of cofibrant diagrams $g: X \rightarrow Y$, there exists an extension $X \rightarrow Y \xrightarrow{l} L_S^r X$ that is unique up to (simplicial) homotopy with $l \circ g \stackrel{s}{\sim} j_X: X \rightarrow L_S^r X$.*

Proof: By Proposition 7.19, $L_S^r(g) = L_S(g)$ is a weak equivalence. Moreover, this is a weak equivalence between two objects which are both fibrant and cofibrant, so in the following commutative diagram of solid arrows

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{g} & Y \\ j_X \downarrow & & \downarrow j_Y \\ L_S X & \xrightarrow[\sim]{L_S g} & L_S Y \\ & \nwarrow \scriptstyle \overline{q} \quad \nearrow \scriptstyle \overline{q} & \end{array}$$

the map $L_S(g)$ has a simplicial homotopy inverse q (all notions of homotopy of maps between objects which are fibrant and cofibrant coincide). Define $l = qj_Y$; then, using the commutativity of the diagram above and [24, 9.5.4], we obtain $lg = qj_Y g = (qL_S(g))j_X \stackrel{s}{\sim} \text{id}_{L_S(X)} j_X = j_X$.

To show the uniqueness up to simplicial homotopy of l , suppose there exists another map $l': Y \rightarrow L_S X$ such that $l'g \stackrel{s}{\sim} j_X$. Then l' factors through $L_S Y$ (since L_S is initial), i.e., there exists an arrow $q': L_S Y \rightarrow L_S X$ such that $l' = q'j_Y$. It will suffice to show that $q' \stackrel{s}{\sim} q$ since [24, 9.5.4] implies that $l' \stackrel{s}{\sim} l$. By assumption, $l'g \stackrel{s}{\sim} j_X$, so $q'j_Y g \stackrel{s}{\sim} j_X$ or, equivalently, $q'L_S(g)j_X \stackrel{s}{\sim} \text{id}_{L_S X} j_X$. By divisibility, $q'L_S(g) \stackrel{s}{\sim} \text{id}_{L_S X}$. But $L_S(g)$ is a weak equivalence of cofibrant objects, therefore, by [24, 9.5.12], $L_S(g)^*: [L_S Y, L_S X] \xrightarrow{\sim} [L_S X, L_S X]$ is a bijective map of simplicial homotopy classes which satisfies $L_S(g)^*([q]) = L_S(g)^*([q'])$, hence $[q] = [q']$ or $q \stackrel{s}{\sim} q'$. ■

8. Fixed-pointwise localization — Localization with respect to a class of maps

In the previous section we developed the localization theory of diagrams of spaces with respect to a class of maps of diagrams subject to certain conditions 7.1. But a large part of the equivariant homotopy theory [5, 11, 14] uses the ‘fixed-pointwise’ approach and its generalizations, so it is natural to ask whether for any map $f: A \hookrightarrow B$ of simplicial sets there exists a localization functor L , which induces f -equivalences of fixed-point sets $\text{hom}(\underline{T}, \underline{X}) \rightarrow \text{hom}(\underline{T}, L\underline{X})$ for each orbit \underline{T} . We do not know in general whether it is possible to find a set of maps of diagrams such that the localization with respect to it gives the required functor L . But in one simple case $f: \emptyset \hookrightarrow *$, discussed in the companion paper [7], we know that this is impossible. In this section we show how to apply the generalized small object argument to the localizations with respect to certain classes of maps.

There is no point in considering fixed-pointwise localizations with respect to a set of maps since, in the category of spaces, the localization with respect to any set of maps is equivalent to the localization with respect to a single map: take this single map to be the coproduct of the set of maps, in case that there is no map of the form $\emptyset \hookrightarrow X$, $X \neq \emptyset$; otherwise, this map $\emptyset \hookrightarrow X$ will induce the same localization functor as the whole set.

Fixed-pointwise localization with respect to homology in the category of spaces with a compact Lie group action was constructed in [32]. Our construction is new only for the diagram shapes which lead to non-cofibrantly generated model structures on \mathcal{S}^D [8], otherwise it is covered by the classical localization framework.

Given a non-trivial cofibration $f: A \hookrightarrow B$ of simplicial sets, consider the following class of maps $F = \{f \otimes \underline{T}: A \otimes \underline{T} \hookrightarrow B \otimes \underline{T} \mid \underline{T} \in \mathcal{O}\}$. Then a diagram \underline{Z} is F -local if and only if it is fibrant and for each orbit \underline{T} the space of ‘ \underline{T} -fixed points’, $\text{hom}(\underline{T}, \underline{Z})$, is f -local:

- \underline{Z} is fibrant $\Leftrightarrow \text{hom}(\underline{T}, \underline{Z})$ is fibrant for each $\underline{T} \in \mathcal{O}$, by definition;
- $\text{hom}(f \otimes \underline{T}, \underline{Z}): \text{hom}(B \otimes \underline{T}, \underline{Z}) \rightarrow \text{hom}(A \otimes \underline{T}, \underline{Z})$ is a weak equivalence $\Leftrightarrow \text{hom}(B, \text{hom}(\underline{T}, \underline{Z})) \rightarrow \text{hom}(A, \text{hom}(\underline{T}, \underline{Z}))$ is a weak equivalence for each $\underline{T} \in \mathcal{O}$, by adjunction.

Example 8.1: Let $f: \emptyset \hookrightarrow *$ be a map in \mathcal{S} ; then $F = \{f \otimes \underline{T}: \emptyset \hookrightarrow \underline{T} \mid \underline{T} \in \mathcal{O}\}$. The f -localization functor on the category of spaces assigns to any space X a contractible space $L_f(X)$, for a space is f -local iff it is fibrant and contractible. By the considerations above, a diagram \underline{X} is F -local iff its \underline{T} -fixed-point space

is f -local for any orbit \underline{T} , i.e., fibrant and contractible, hence \underline{X} is F -local iff it is fibrant and contractible.

Any map of diagrams is an F -equivalence, likewise any map of spaces is an f -equivalence. In other words, a map of diagrams $g: \underline{X} \rightarrow \underline{Y}$ is an F -local equivalence if and only if the induced map of fixed-point spaces $\text{hom}(\underline{T}, g): \text{hom}(\underline{T}, \underline{X}) \rightarrow \text{hom}(\underline{T}, \underline{Y})$ is an f -equivalence for each orbit $\underline{T} \in \mathcal{O}$.

The example above has the following generalization:

PROPOSITION 8.2: *A map $g: \underline{X} \rightarrow \underline{Y}$ is an F -local equivalence if and only if for each orbit $\underline{T} \in \mathcal{O}$ the map $\text{hom}(\underline{T}, g): \text{hom}(\underline{T}, \underline{X}) \rightarrow \text{hom}(\underline{T}, \underline{Y})$ is an f -equivalence of simplicial sets.*

Proof: Let $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{O}$ be a full small subcategory of the category of orbits such that \underline{X} and \underline{Y} are of orbit type \mathcal{E} . The set of orbits $\text{obj}(\mathcal{E})$ is a set of orbits in the sense of [19] (see [12] for the proof), and induces a simplicial model structure on the category of D -diagrams which is Quillen equivalent to the Bousfield–Kan model category on \mathcal{E}^{op} -shaped diagrams of spaces [19]. Moreover, these model categories are cofibrantly generated, therefore they have functorial factorizations, and it was shown in [17] that their simplicial homotopy categories are homotopy equivalent.

A map $g: \underline{X} \rightarrow \underline{Y}$ is an F -local equivalence if for any cofibrant replacement (in the model category generated by all orbits) $\tilde{g}: \tilde{\underline{X}} \rightarrow \tilde{\underline{Y}}$ and any F -local diagram \underline{Z} the induced map on function complexes $\text{hom}(\tilde{g}, \underline{Z}): \text{hom}(\tilde{\underline{Y}}, \underline{Z}) \rightarrow \text{hom}(\tilde{\underline{X}}, \underline{Z})$ is a weak equivalence of simplicial sets. By the construction (in Section 3) of the cofibrant replacement (which is a D -CW-complex of the same orbit type as the original space), $\tilde{\underline{X}}$ and $\tilde{\underline{Y}}$ are cofibrant in the model category generated by the objects of \mathcal{E} and \underline{Z} is fibrant in both model categories, hence the function complexes $\text{hom}(\tilde{\underline{X}}, \underline{Z})$ and $\text{hom}(\tilde{\underline{Y}}, \underline{Z})$ are weakly equivalent to the homotopy function complexes of the simplicial homotopy category [17]. But $(\underline{X})^{\mathcal{E}}$ and $(\underline{Y})^{\mathcal{E}}$ are cofibrant in the Bousfield–Kan model category as free diagrams over \mathcal{E}^{op} , and $(\underline{Z})^{\mathcal{E}}$ is fibrant, hence the function complexes $\text{hom}((\tilde{\underline{X}})^{\mathcal{E}}, (\underline{Z})^{\mathcal{E}})$ and $\text{hom}((\tilde{\underline{Y}})^{\mathcal{E}}, (\underline{Z})^{\mathcal{E}})$ are also weakly equivalent to the homotopy function complexes of the corresponding simplicial homotopy category.

The homotopy equivalence between simplicial homotopy categories implies that the map $\text{hom}(\tilde{g}, \underline{Z}): \text{hom}(\tilde{\underline{Y}}, \underline{Z}) \rightarrow \text{hom}(\tilde{\underline{X}}, \underline{Z})$ is a weak equivalence if and only if the map $\text{hom}((\tilde{g})^{\mathcal{E}}, (\underline{Z})^{\mathcal{E}}): \text{hom}((\tilde{\underline{Y}})^{\mathcal{E}}, (\underline{Z})^{\mathcal{E}}) \rightarrow \text{hom}((\tilde{\underline{X}})^{\mathcal{E}}, (\underline{Z})^{\mathcal{E}})$ is a weak equivalence. But $\tilde{\underline{X}}$ and $\tilde{\underline{Y}}$ are weakly equivalent to \underline{X} and \underline{Y} , hence $(\tilde{\underline{X}})^{\mathcal{E}} \cong (\underline{X})^{\mathcal{E}}$ and $(\tilde{\underline{Y}})^{\mathcal{E}} \cong (\underline{Y})^{\mathcal{E}}$ are weak equivalences of cofibrant (free)

objects in the Bousfield–Kan model category. Therefore, the maps $\text{hom}(\tilde{g}, \underline{Z})$ and $\text{hom}((\tilde{g})^\mathcal{E}, (\underline{Z})^\mathcal{E})$ are weak equivalences if and only if

$$\text{hom}((g)^\mathcal{E}, (\underline{Z})^\mathcal{E}): \text{hom}((Y)^\mathcal{E}, (\underline{Z})^\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \text{hom}((X)^\mathcal{E}, (\underline{Z})^\mathcal{E})$$

is a weak equivalence. Compare [11, 5.13].

Now we can prove the proposition. Suppose that all maps induced by the map g on fixed-point sets are f -equivalences. Let \underline{Z} be any F -local diagram. The equivariant function complex $\text{hom}((X)^\mathcal{E}, (\underline{Z})^\mathcal{E})$ may be represented as a homotopy inverse limit over the *twisted arrow category* $a\mathcal{E}^{\text{op}}$ (objects of $a\mathcal{E}^{\text{op}}$ are morphisms of \mathcal{E}^{op} and arrows of $a\mathcal{E}^{\text{op}}$ are commutative squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} e_0 & \longleftarrow & e'_0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ e_1 & \longrightarrow & e'_1 \end{array}$$

in \mathcal{E}^{op}) [18, 3.3]. Since $(X)^\mathcal{E}$ is cofibrant (because it is free) and $(\underline{Z})^\mathcal{E}$ is fibrant, the following map is a weak equivalence:

$$\text{hom}((X)^\mathcal{E}, (\underline{Z})^\mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{holim}_{a\mathcal{E}^{\text{op}}} \text{hom}_a((X)^\mathcal{E}, (\underline{Z})^\mathcal{E}),$$

where $\text{hom}_a((X)^\mathcal{E}, (\underline{Z})^\mathcal{E})$ is an $a\mathcal{E}^{\text{op}}$ -diagram of simplicial sets in which for each $(e_0 \rightarrow e_1) \in a\mathcal{E}^{\text{op}}$ there is assigned the simplicial set $\text{hom}((X)^\mathcal{E}(e_0), (\underline{Z})^\mathcal{E}(e_1))$.

By assumption, the induced map between $a\mathcal{E}^{\text{op}}$ -diagrams

$$\text{hom}_a(g^\mathcal{E}, (\underline{Z})^\mathcal{E}): \text{hom}_a((Y)^\mathcal{E}, (\underline{Z})^\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \text{hom}_a((X)^\mathcal{E}, (\underline{Z})^\mathcal{E})$$

is an objectwise weak equivalence, since each entry of the diagram $(\underline{Z})^\mathcal{E}$ is an f -local space. Hence, the induced map on the homotopy inverse limits is a weak equivalence $\text{hom}((g)^\mathcal{E}, (\underline{Z})^\mathcal{E}): \text{hom}((Y)^\mathcal{E}, (\underline{Z})^\mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{hom}((X)^\mathcal{E}, (\underline{Z})^\mathcal{E})$. Then, by the discussion above, the map $\text{hom}(\tilde{g}, \underline{Z}): \text{hom}(\tilde{Y}, \underline{Z}) \rightarrow \text{hom}(\tilde{X}, \underline{Z})$ is a weak equivalence for any F -local diagram \underline{Z} , i.e., the map g is an F -local equivalence.

Alternatively, one can think of an equivariant function complex as an end. Then this is a homotopy end, since $(X)^\mathcal{E}$ is free, and the same conclusion follows from the results in [16].

Suppose now that g is an F -local equivalence. We need to show that for each orbit \underline{T} the induced map on the \underline{T} -fixed-point space is an f -equivalence. Let $V_{\underline{T}}(\underline{T}') = \text{hom}_{\mathcal{E}^{\text{op}}}(\underline{T}', \underline{T})$ be a diagram of simplicial sets over \mathcal{E} ; then by the dual of Yoneda's lemma we obtain the natural isomorphism $(X)^\mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{E}^{\text{op}}} V_{\underline{T}} \cong$

$(\underline{X})^\varepsilon(\underline{T}) \cong \text{hom}(\underline{T}, \underline{X})$. Take W to be any f -local simplicial set. Then in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{hom}((\underline{Y})^\varepsilon(\underline{T}), W) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \text{hom}((\underline{Y})^\varepsilon \otimes_{\mathcal{E}^{\text{op}}} V_{\underline{T}}, W) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \text{hom}((\underline{Y})^\varepsilon, \text{hom}(V_{\underline{T}}, W)) \\ \text{hom}(g^\varepsilon|_{\underline{T}}, \downarrow W) & & \downarrow & & \text{hom}(g^\varepsilon, \downarrow \text{hom}(V_{\underline{T}}, W)) \\ \text{hom}((\underline{X})^\varepsilon(\underline{T}), W) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \text{hom}((\underline{X})^\varepsilon \otimes_{\mathcal{E}^{\text{op}}} V_{\underline{T}}, W) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \text{hom}((\underline{X})^\varepsilon, \text{hom}(V_{\underline{T}}, W)), \end{array}$$

where $\text{hom}(V_{\underline{T}}, W)$ is an \mathcal{E}^{op} -diagram of f -local spaces [13, A.8(e.2)], the left vertical arrow is a weak equivalence if and only if the right vertical arrow is a weak equivalence. But the diagram $\text{hom}(V_{\underline{T}}, W)$ may be replaced, up to object-wise weak equivalence, by a diagram $(\underline{Z})^\varepsilon$, where \underline{Z} is a fibrant approximation of the realization of $\text{hom}(V_{\underline{T}}, W)$ as a D -diagram, i.e., \underline{Z} is an F -local diagram and therefore the map $\text{hom}(g^\varepsilon, \text{hom}(V_{\underline{T}}, W))$ is a weak equivalence. ■

PROPOSITION 8.3: *The class of maps*

$$\text{Hor}(F) = \{\Delta^n \otimes A \otimes \underline{T} \coprod_{\partial \Delta^n \otimes A \otimes \underline{T}} \partial \Delta^n \otimes B \otimes \underline{T} \rightarrow \Delta^n \otimes B \otimes \underline{T} | n \geq 0, \underline{T} \in \mathcal{O}\}$$

is instrumented with a factorization setup \mathcal{H} and a cardinal $\kappa > |A| + |B|$.

Proof: The factorization setup \mathcal{H} is constructed as follows. Any map $u = (u_1, u_2)$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta^n \otimes A \otimes \underline{T} \coprod_{\partial \Delta^n \otimes A \otimes \underline{T}} \partial \Delta^n \otimes B \otimes \underline{T} & \xrightarrow{u_1} & \underline{X} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Delta^n \otimes B \otimes \underline{T} & \xrightarrow{u_2} & \underline{Y} \end{array}$$

is uniquely given by a map of \underline{T} into the nodes of the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & & & \text{hom}(\partial \Delta^n \otimes B, \underline{X}) \\ & & & \swarrow & \downarrow \\ \text{hom}(\Delta^n \otimes B, \underline{Y}) & \longrightarrow & \text{hom}(\partial \Delta^n \otimes B, \underline{Y}) & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & \longrightarrow & \text{hom}(\partial \Delta^n \otimes A, \underline{X}) \\ & \swarrow \text{hom}(\Delta^n \otimes A, \underline{X}) & & & \\ \text{hom}(\Delta^n \otimes A, \underline{Y}) & \longrightarrow & \text{hom}(\partial \Delta^n \otimes A, \underline{Y}). & & \end{array}$$

Take the ‘3-dimensional pullback’ (the inverse limit) in the diagram above $\underline{W}_{g,n}$. Then, similarly to the proof of Proposition 3.4, define $H_{g,n}$ to be the set of all maps u above which correspond bijectively, by adjointness, to the set of maps $\mathcal{O}(\underline{W}_{g,n})$; assign $\mathcal{H}(g) = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} H_{g,n}$. The factorization property readily follows.

It is straightforward to check that the domains of maps in $\text{Hor}(F)$ are κ -small relative to $\text{Hor}(F)$ -cell. ■

Proposition 8.3 verifies for the class F the conditions listed in 7.1. Hence the results of Section 7 apply and we obtain an example L_F of the localization with respect to the class of maps F .

Appendix A. Contractible objects and null homotopies in a model category

The purpose of this appendix is to discuss the fundamental notions of contractible objects and null-homotopic maps in a model category. Using these notions, we prove Proposition 7.17 in a manner that allows for immediate generalization to an arbitrary simplicial model category (satisfying some assumptions), as do the rest of the proofs in Section 7.

Some authors use these notions in pointed model categories [9], where the definitions are clear: contractible objects are weakly equivalent to the zero object and a null map is homotopic to a map which factors through the zero object. Our aim here is to discuss contractible objects and null maps in any model category, while generalizing the pointed model category case.

Definition A.1: A **category with contractible objects** is a pair (\mathcal{M}, pt) , where \mathcal{M} is a model category and pt is a distinguished object in \mathcal{M} , which satisfies that every retract of pt is naturally isomorphic to pt . This distinguished object is called the **one-point object** or **singleton**. An object U in \mathcal{M} is called **contractible** if U is weakly equivalent to the one-point object pt . A map $f: A \rightarrow X$ is called **null homotopic** (**nullmap** or just **null**) if it factors up to homotopy (both left and right) through the one-point object.

Example A.2: In any model category \mathcal{M} the initial and terminal objects may be chosen to be one-point objects. They lead, however, to different notions of contractibility and null maps. If \mathcal{M} is a pointed model category ($\emptyset = * = 0$), then the only object which may be taken as a singleton is the zero object $pt = 0$.

The notions of a singleton, contractible object and nullmap are self-dual. In the present paper we always take $pt = *$, the terminal object in the category of diagrams of spaces.

PROPOSITION A.3: *Let \mathcal{M} be a model category with a singleton pt and let $f: A \rightarrow X$ be null homotopic.*

- (1) *If A is a cofibrant object in \mathcal{M} , then f factors through a cofibrant contractible object.*
- (2) *If X is a fibrant object in \mathcal{M} , then f factors through a fibrant contractible object.*

Proof: We will prove part (1); the proof of part (2) is dual.

Since f is null homotopic, there exists a map $g = \iota\varphi$, where $A \xrightarrow{\varphi} pt \xrightarrow{\iota} X$ homotopic (both left and right) to f . Choose a good cylinder object $A \wedge I$ and a left homotopy $H: A \wedge I \rightarrow X$ between f and g . Then the following solid arrow diagram is commutative,

where $CA = pt \coprod_A A \wedge I$. Then there exists the natural arrow $CA \rightarrow X$ which preserves the diagram commutative.

If A is cofibrant, then the maps $i_0, i_1: A \rightarrow A \wedge I$ are trivial cofibrations, since $A \wedge I$ is a good cylinder object. Hence, the cobase change of i_1 is also a trivial cofibration, thus the cone object CA is contractible, and we obtain the required factorization (if CA is not cofibrant, then factor the map $A \rightarrow CA$ as a cofibration followed by an acyclic fibration and obtain a contractible object $C'A$ through which the map f factors).

The dual of a cone object is a based paths object. ■

References

- [1] J. Adámek, H. Herrlich, J. Rosický and W. Tholen, *Weak factorization systems and topological functors*, Applied Categorical Structures **10** (2002), 237–249, Papers in honour of the seventieth birthday of Professor Heinrich Kleisli (Fribourg, 2000).
- [2] M. Artin and B. Mazur, *Etale Homotopy*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **100**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1969.

- [3] A. K. Bousfield, *The localization of spaces with respect to homology*, *Topology* **14** (1975), 133–150.
- [4] A. K. Bousfield, *Constructions of factorization systems in categories*, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* **9** (1976/77), 207–220.
- [5] G. E. Bredon, *Equivariant Cohomology Theories*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **34**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1967.
- [6] B. Chorny, *Localization with respect to a class of maps II — Equivariant cellularization and its application*, *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, this volume.
- [7] B. Chorny, *Equivariant cellular homology and its applications*, in *High-dimensional Manifold Topology* (F. T. Farrell and W. Lück, eds.), World Science Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 2003, pp. 125–138.
- [8] B. Chorny, *The model category of maps of spaces is not cofibrantly generated*, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* **131** (2003), 2255–2259.
- [9] J. Christensen, W. G. Dwyer and D. C. Isaksen, *Obstruction theory in model categories*, *Advances in Mathematics* **181** (2004), 396–416.
- [10] J. D. Christensen and M. Hovey, *Quillen model structures for relative homological algebra*, *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society* **133** (2002), 261–293.
- [11] E. Dror Farjoun, *Homotopy and homology of diagrams of spaces*, in *Algebraic Topology (Seattle, Wash., 1985)*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **1286**, Springer, Berlin, 1987, pp. 93–134.
- [12] E. Dror Farjoun, *Homotopy theories for diagrams of spaces*, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* **101** (1987), 181–189.
- [13] E. Dror Farjoun, *Cellular Spaces, Null Spaces and Homotopy Localization*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **1622**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.
- [14] E. Dror Farjoun and A. Zabrodsky, *Homotopy equivalence between diagrams of spaces*, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* **41** (1986), 169–182.
- [15] D. Dugger and D. C. Isaksen, *Topological hypercovers and A^1 -realizations*, *Mathematische Zeitschrift* **246** (2004), 667–689.
- [16] W. G. Dwyer and E. Dror Farjoun, *Homotopy coends*, Preprint, 1998.
- [17] W. G. Dwyer and D. M. Kan, *Function complexes in homotopical algebra*, *Topology* **19** (1980), 427–440.
- [18] W. G. Dwyer and D. M. Kan, *Function complexes for diagrams of simplicial sets*, *Koninklijke Nederlandse Akademie van Wetenschappen. Proceedings* **86**(2); *Indagationes Mathematicae* **45** (1983), 139–147.
- [19] W. G. Dwyer and D. M. Kan, *Singular functors and realization functors*, *Koninklijke Nederlandse Akademie van Wetenschappen. Proceedings* **87**(2); *Indagationes Mathematicae* **46** (1984), 147–153.

- [20] D. A. Edwards and H. M. Hastings, *Čech and Steenrod Homotopy Theories with Applications to Geometric Topology*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **542**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976.
- [21] E. M. Friedlander, *Étale Homotopy of Simplicial Schemes*, Volume 104 of Annals of Mathematics Studies. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1982.
- [22] J. J. Gutiérrez, *Stable Localizations of Module Spectra*, Master's thesis, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, 2001.
- [23] V. Halperin, *Equivariant Localization of Diagrams*, PhD thesis, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, 1998.
- [24] P. S. Hirschhorn, *Model Categories and their Localizations*, Volume 99 of Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.
- [25] M. Hovey, Errata to *Model Categories*,
<http://claudemath.wesleyan.edu/~mhovey/papers>.
- [26] M. Hovey, *Model Categories*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **63**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999.
- [27] D. C. Isaksen, *A model structure on the category of pro-simplicial sets*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **353** (2001), 2805–2841 (electronic).
- [28] D. C. Isaksen, *Calculating limits and colimits in pro-categories*, Forum Mathematicum **175** (2002), 175–194.
- [29] D. C. Isaksen, *Strict model structures for pro-categories*, in *Categorical Decomposition Techniques in Algebraic Topology (Isle of Skye, 2001)*, Volume 215 of Progress in Mathematics, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2004, pp. 179–198.
- [30] S. MacLane and I. Moerdijk, *Sheaves in Geometry and Logic*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994. A first introduction to topos theory, Corrected reprint of the 1992 edition.
- [31] S. MacLane, *Categories for the Working Mathematician*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **5**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1971.
- [32] J. P. May, J. McClure and G. Triantafillou, *Equivariant localization*, The Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society **14** (1982), 223–230.
- [33] D. G. Quillen, *Homotopical Algebra*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **43**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1967.
- [34] C. L. Reedy, *Homotopy theory of model categories*, Unpublished manuscript, 1974.
- [35] J. H. Smith, *Combinatorial Model Categories*, Unpublished.